

Chapter 2

The Integral

Le vrai est simple et clair; et quand notre manière d'y arriver est embarrassée et obscure, on peut dire qu'elle mène au vrai et n'est pas vraie.

Fontenelle

2.1 The Cauchy Integral

The *Lebesgue integral* is a positive linear functional satisfying the property of monotone convergence. It extends the *Cauchy integral*.

Definition 2.1.1. Let Ω be an open subset of \mathbb{R}^N . We define

$$C(\Omega) = \{u : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R} : u \text{ is continuous}\},$$

$$\mathcal{K}(\Omega) = \{u \in C(\mathbb{R}^N) : \text{supp } u \text{ is a compact subset of } \Omega\}.$$

The support of u , denoted by $\text{spt } u$, is the closure of the set of points at which u is different from 0.

Let $u \in \mathcal{K}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. By definition, there is $R > 1$ such that

$$\text{spt } u \subset \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : |x|_\infty \leq R - 1\}.$$

Let us define the *Riemann sums* of u :

$$S_j = 2^{-jN} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^N} u(k/2^j).$$

The factor 2^{-jN} is the volume of the cube with side 2^{-j} in \mathbb{R}^N . Let $C = [0, 1]^N$ and let us define the *Darboux sums* of u :

$$A_j = 2^{-jN} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^N} \min\{u(x) : 2^j x - k \in C\}, \quad B_j = 2^{-jN} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^N} \max\{u(x) : 2^j x - k \in C\}.$$

Let $\varepsilon > 0$. By uniform continuity, there is j such that $\omega_u(1/2^j) \leq \varepsilon$. Observe that

$$B_j - A_j \leq (2R)^N \varepsilon, A_{j-1} \leq A_j \leq S_j \leq B_j \leq B_{j-1}.$$

The *Cauchy integral* of u is defined by

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u(x) dx = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} S_j = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} A_j = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} B_j.$$

Theorem 2.1.2. *The space $\mathcal{K}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and the Cauchy integral*

$$\mathcal{K}(\mathbb{R}^N) \rightarrow \mathbb{R} : u \mapsto \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u dx$$

are such that

- (a) for every $u \in \mathcal{K}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $|u| \in \mathcal{K}(\mathbb{R}^N)$;
 (b) for every $u, v \in \mathcal{K}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and every $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \alpha u + \beta v dx = \alpha \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u dx + \beta \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} v dx;$$

- (c) for every $u \in \mathcal{K}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that $u \geq 0$, $\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u dx \geq 0$;
 (d) for every sequence $(u_n) \subset \mathcal{K}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that $u_n \downarrow 0$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u_n dx = 0$.

Proof. Properties (a)–(c) are clear. Property (d) follows from Dini's theorem. By definition, there is $R > 1$ such that

$$\text{spt } u_0 \subset K = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : |x|_\infty \leq R - 1\}.$$

By Dini's theorem, (u_n) converges uniformly to 0 on K . Hence

$$0 \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u_n dx \leq (2R)^N \max_{x \in K} u_n(x) \rightarrow 0, \quad n \rightarrow \infty. \quad \square$$

The above properties define an elementary integral. They suffice for constructing the *Lebesgue integral*.

The (concrete) Lebesgue integral is the smallest extension of the Cauchy integral satisfying the property of *monotone convergence*,

(e) if (u_n) is an increasing sequence of integrable functions such that

$$\sup_n \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u_n dx < \infty,$$

then $u(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_n(x)$ is integrable and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u dx = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u_n dx,$$

and *linearity*,

(f) if u and v are integrable functions and if α and β are real numbers, then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \alpha u + \beta v dx = \alpha \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u dx + \beta \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} v dx.$$

Let us sketch the construction of the (concrete) Lebesgue integral.

By definition, the function u belongs to $\mathcal{L}^+(\mathbb{R}^N, dx)$ if there exists an increasing sequence (u_n) of functions of $\mathcal{K}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that $u_n \uparrow u$ and $\sup_n \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u_n dx < \infty$.

The integral, defined by the formula

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u dx = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u_n dx,$$

satisfies property (e). We shall prove that the integral depends only on u .

Let $f, g \in \mathcal{L}^+(\mathbb{R}^N, dx)$. The difference $f(x) - g(x)$ is well defined except if $f(x) = g(x) = +\infty$. A subset S of \mathbb{R}^N is *negligible* if there exists $h \in \mathcal{L}^+(\mathbb{R}^N, dx)$ such that for every $x \in S$, $h(x) = +\infty$.

By definition a function u belongs to $\mathcal{L}^1(\mathbb{R}^N, dx)$ if there exists $f, g \in \mathcal{L}^+(\mathbb{R}^N, dx)$ such that $u = f - g$ except on a negligible subset of \mathbb{R}^N . The integral defined by

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} g dx$$

satisfies properties (e) and (f). Again we shall prove that the integral depends only on u .

The Lebesgue integral will be constructed in an abstract framework, the *elementary integral*, generalizing the Cauchy integral.

Example (Limit of integrals). It is not always permitted to permute limit and integral. Let us define, on $[0, 1]$, $u_n(x) = 2nx(1 - x^2)^{n-1}$. Since for every $x \in]0, 1[$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{u_{n+1}(x)}{u_n(x)} = (1 - x^2) < 1,$$

u_n converges simply to 0 on $[0, 1]$. But

$$0 = \int_0^1 \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_n(x) dx < \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^1 u_n(x) dx = 1.$$

2.2 The Lebesgue Integral

Les inégalités peuvent s'intégrer.

Paul Lévy

Elementary integrals were defined by Daniell in 1918.

Definition 2.2.1. An elementary integral on the set Ω is defined by a vector space $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}(\Omega, \mu)$ of functions from Ω to \mathbb{R} and by a functional

$$\mu : \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} : u \mapsto \int_{\Omega} u d\mu$$

such that

- (\mathcal{J}_1) for every $u \in \mathcal{L}$, $|u| \in \mathcal{L}$;
- (\mathcal{J}_2) for every $u, v \in \mathcal{L}$ and every $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\int_{\Omega} \alpha u + \beta v d\mu = \alpha \int_{\Omega} u d\mu + \beta \int_{\Omega} v d\mu;$$

- (\mathcal{J}_3) for every $u \in \mathcal{L}$ such that $u \geq 0$, $\int_{\Omega} u d\mu \geq 0$;

- (\mathcal{J}_4) for every sequence $(u_n) \subset \mathcal{L}$ such that $u_n \downarrow 0$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} u_n d\mu = 0$.

Proposition 2.2.2. Let $u, v \in \mathcal{L}$. Then $u^+, u^-, \max(u, v), \min(u, v) \in \mathcal{L}$.

Proof. Let us recall that $u^+ = \max(u, 0)$, $u^- = \max(-u, 0)$,

$$\max(u, v) = \frac{1}{2}(u + v) + \frac{1}{2}|u - v|, \quad \min(u, v) = \frac{1}{2}(u + v) - \frac{1}{2}|u - v|. \quad \square$$

Proposition 2.2.3. Let $u, v \in \mathcal{L}$ be such that $u \leq v$. Then $\int_{\Omega} u d\mu \leq \int_{\Omega} v d\mu$.

Proof. We deduce from (\mathcal{J}_2) and (\mathcal{J}_3) that

$$0 \leq \int_{\Omega} v - u \, d\mu = \int_{\Omega} v \, d\mu - \int_{\Omega} u \, d\mu. \quad \square$$

Definition 2.2.4. A fundamental sequence is an increasing sequence $(u_n) \subset \mathcal{L}$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} u_n \, d\mu = \sup_n \int_{\Omega} u_n \, d\mu < \infty.$$

Definition 2.2.5. A subset S of Ω is negligible (with respect to μ) if there is a fundamental sequence (u_n) such that for every $x \in S$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_n(x) = +\infty$. A property is true almost everywhere if the set of points of Ω where it is false is negligible.

Let us justify the definition of a negligible set.

Proposition 2.2.6. Let (u_n) be a decreasing sequence of functions of \mathcal{L} such that everywhere $u_n \geq 0$ and almost everywhere, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_n(x) = 0$. Then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} u_n \, d\mu = 0$.

Proof. Let $\varepsilon > 0$. By assumption, there is a fundamental sequence (v_n) such that if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_n(x) > 0$, then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} v_n(x) = +\infty$. We replace v_n by v_n^+ , and we multiply by a strictly positive constant such that

$$v_n \geq 0, \quad \int_{\Omega} v_n \, d\mu \leq \varepsilon.$$

We define $w_n = (u_n - v_n)^+$. Then $w_n \downarrow 0$, and we deduce from axiom (\mathcal{J}_4) that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} u_n \, d\mu &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} w_n + v_n \, d\mu = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} w_n \, d\mu + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} v_n \, d\mu \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} v_n \, d\mu \leq \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\varepsilon > 0$ is arbitrary, the proof is complete. \square

Proposition 2.2.7. Let (u_n) and (v_n) be fundamental sequences such that almost everywhere,

$$u(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_n(x) \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} v_n(x) = v(x).$$

Then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} u_n \, d\mu \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} v_n \, d\mu.$$

Proof. We choose k and we define $w_n = (u_k - v_n)^+$. Then $(w_n) \subset \mathcal{L}$ is a decreasing sequence of positive functions such that almost everywhere,

$$\lim w_n(x) = (u_k(x) - v(x))^+ \leq (u(x) - v(x))^+ = 0.$$

We deduce from the preceding proposition that

$$\int_{\Omega} u_k d\mu \leq \lim \int_{\Omega} w_n + v_n d\mu = \lim \int_{\Omega} w_n d\mu + \lim \int_{\Omega} v_n d\mu = \lim \int_{\Omega} v_n d\mu.$$

Since k is arbitrary, the proof is complete. \square

Definition 2.2.8. A function $u : \Omega \rightarrow]-\infty, +\infty]$ belongs to $\mathcal{L}^+ = \mathcal{L}^+(\Omega, \mu)$ if there exists a fundamental sequence (u_n) such that $u_n \uparrow u$. The integral (with respect to μ) of u is defined by

$$\int_{\Omega} u d\mu = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} u_n d\mu.$$

By the preceding proposition, the integral of u is well defined.

Proposition 2.2.9. Let $u, v \in \mathcal{L}^+$ and $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$. Then

- (a) $\max(u, v), \min(u, v), u^+ \in \mathcal{L}^+$;
- (b) $\alpha u + \beta v \in \mathcal{L}^+$ and $\int_{\Omega} \alpha u + \beta v d\mu = \alpha \int_{\Omega} u d\mu + \beta \int_{\Omega} v d\mu$;
- (c) if $u \leq v$ almost everywhere, then $\int_{\Omega} u d\mu \leq \int_{\Omega} v d\mu$.

Proof. Proposition 2.2.7 is equivalent to (c). \square

Proposition 2.2.10 (Monotone convergence in \mathcal{L}^+). Let $(u_n) \subset \mathcal{L}^+$ be everywhere (or almost everywhere) increasing and such that

$$c = \sup_n \int_{\Omega} u_n d\mu < \infty.$$

Then (u_n) converges everywhere (or almost everywhere) to $u \in \mathcal{L}^+$ and

$$\int_{\Omega} u d\mu = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} u_n d\mu.$$

Proof. We consider almost everywhere convergence. For every k , there is a fundamental sequence $(u_{k,n})$ such that $u_{k,n} \uparrow u_k$.

The sequence $v_n = \max(u_{1,n}, \dots, u_{n,n})$ is increasing, and almost everywhere,

$$v_n \leq \max(u_1, \dots, u_n) = u_n.$$

Since

$$\int_{\Omega} v_n d\mu \leq \int_{\Omega} u_n d\mu \leq c,$$

the sequence $(v_n) \subset \mathcal{L}$ is fundamental. By definition, $v_n \uparrow u$, $u \in \mathcal{L}^+$, and

$$\int_{\Omega} u \, d\mu = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} v_n \, d\mu.$$

For $k \leq n$, we have almost everywhere that

$$u_{k,n} \leq v_n \leq u_n.$$

Hence we obtain, almost everywhere, that $u_k \leq u \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_n$ and

$$\int_{\Omega} u_k \, d\mu \leq \int_{\Omega} u \, d\mu \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} u_n \, d\mu.$$

It is easy to conclude the proof. \square

Corollary 2.2.11. *Every countable union of negligible sets is negligible.*

Proof. Let (S_k) be a sequence of negligible sets. For every k , there exists $v_k \in \mathcal{L}^+$ such that for every $x \in S_k$, $v_k(x) = +\infty$. We replace v_k by v_k^+ , and we multiply by a strictly positive constant such that

$$v_k \geq 0, \quad \int_{\Omega} v_k \, d\mu \leq \frac{1}{2^k}.$$

The sequence $u_n = \sum_{k=1}^n v_k$ is increasing and

$$\int_{\Omega} u_n \, d\mu \leq \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{2^k} \leq 1.$$

Hence $u_n \uparrow u$ and $u \in \mathcal{L}^+$. Since for every $x \in \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} S_k$, $u(x) = +\infty$, the set $\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} S_k$ is negligible. \square

By definition, functions of \mathcal{L}^+ are finite almost everywhere. Hence the difference of two functions of \mathcal{L}^+ is well defined almost everywhere. Assume that $f, g, v, w \in \mathcal{L}^+$ and that $f - g = v - w$ almost everywhere. Then $f + w = v + g$ almost everywhere and

$$\int_{\Omega} f \, d\mu + \int_{\Omega} w \, d\mu = \int_{\Omega} f + w \, d\mu = \int_{\Omega} v + g \, d\mu = \int_{\Omega} v \, d\mu + \int_{\Omega} g \, d\mu,$$

so that

$$\int_{\Omega} f \, d\mu - \int_{\Omega} g \, d\mu = \int_{\Omega} v \, d\mu - \int_{\Omega} w \, d\mu.$$

Definition 2.2.12. A real function u almost everywhere defined on Ω belongs to $\mathcal{L}^1 = \mathcal{L}^1(\Omega, \mu)$ if there exist $f, g \in \mathcal{L}^+$ such that $u = f - g$ almost everywhere. The integral (with respect to μ) of u is defined by

$$\int_{\Omega} u \, d\mu = \int_{\Omega} f \, d\mu - \int_{\Omega} g \, d\mu.$$

By the preceding computation, the integral is well defined.

Proposition 2.2.13. (a) If $u \in \mathcal{L}^1$, then $|u| \in \mathcal{L}^1$.

(b) If $u, v \in \mathcal{L}^1$ and if $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$, then $\alpha u + \beta v \in \mathcal{L}^1$ and

$$\int_{\Omega} \alpha u + \beta v \, d\mu = \alpha \int_{\Omega} u \, d\mu + \beta \int_{\Omega} v \, d\mu.$$

(c) If $u \in \mathcal{L}^1$ and if $u \geq 0$ almost everywhere, then $\int_{\Omega} u \, d\mu \geq 0$.

Proof. Observe that

$$|f - g| = \max(f, g) - \min(f, g). \quad \square$$

Lemma 2.2.14. Let $u \in \mathcal{L}^1$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. Then there exist $v, w \in \mathcal{L}^+$ such that $u = v - w$ almost everywhere, $w \geq 0$, and $\int_{\Omega} w \, d\mu \leq \varepsilon$.

Proof. By definition, there exist $f, g \in \mathcal{L}^+$ such that $u = f - g$ almost everywhere. Let (g_n) be a fundamental sequence such that $g_n \uparrow g$. Since

$$\int_{\Omega} g \, d\mu = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} g_n \, d\mu,$$

there exists n such that $\int_{\Omega} g - g_n \, d\mu \leq \varepsilon$. We choose $w = g - g_n \geq 0$ and $v = f - g_n$. □

We extend the property of monotone convergence to \mathcal{L}^1 .

Theorem 2.2.15 (Levi's monotone convergence theorem). Let $(u_n) \subset \mathcal{L}^1$ be an almost everywhere increasing sequence such that

$$c = \sup_n \int_{\Omega} u_n \, d\mu < \infty.$$

Then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_n \in \mathcal{L}^1$ and

$$\int_{\Omega} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_n \, d\mu = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} u_n \, d\mu.$$

Proof. After replacing u_n by $u_n - u_0$, we can assume that $u_0 = 0$. By the preceding lemma, for every $k \geq 1$, there exist $v_k, w_k \in \mathcal{L}^+$ such that $w_k \geq 0$, $\int_{\Omega} w_k \, d\mu \leq 1/2^k$, and, almost everywhere,

$$u_k - u_{k-1} = v_k - w_k.$$

Since (u_k) is almost everywhere increasing, $v_k \geq 0$ almost everywhere.

We define

$$f_n = \sum_{k=1}^n v_k, \quad g_n = \sum_{k=1}^n w_k.$$

The sequences (f_n) and (g_n) are almost everywhere increasing, and

$$\int_{\Omega} g_n d\mu = \sum_{k=1}^n \int_{\Omega} w_k d\mu \leq \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{2^k} \leq 1, \quad \int_{\Omega} f_n d\mu = \int_{\Omega} u_n + g_n d\mu \leq c + 1.$$

Proposition 2.2.10 implies that almost everywhere,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n = f \in \mathcal{L}^+, \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g_n = g \in \mathcal{L}^+$$

and

$$\int_{\Omega} f d\mu = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} f_n d\mu, \quad \int_{\Omega} g d\mu = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} g_n d\mu.$$

We deduce from Corollary 2.2.11 that almost everywhere,

$$f - g = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (f_n - g_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_n.$$

Hence $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_n \in \mathcal{L}^1$ and

$$\int_{\Omega} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_n d\mu = \int_{\Omega} f d\mu - \int_{\Omega} g d\mu = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} f_n - g_n d\mu = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} u_n d\mu. \quad \square$$

Theorem 2.2.16 (Fatou's lemma). *Let $(u_n) \subset \mathcal{L}^1$ and $f \in \mathcal{L}^1$ be such that*

- (a) $\sup_n \int_{\Omega} u_n d\mu < \infty$;
- (b) *for every n , $f \leq u_n$ almost everywhere.*

Then $\underline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_n \in \mathcal{L}^1$ and

$$\int_{\Omega} \underline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_n d\mu \leq \underline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} u_n d\mu.$$

Proof. We choose k , and we define, for $m \geq k$,

$$u_{k,m} = \min(u_k, \dots, u_m).$$

The sequence $(u_{k,m})$ decreases to $v_k = \inf_{n \geq k} u_n$, and

$$\int_{\Omega} f d\mu \leq \int_{\Omega} u_{k,m} d\mu.$$

The preceding theorem, applied to $(-u_{k,m})$, implies that $v_k \in \mathcal{L}^1$ and

$$\int_{\Omega} v_k d\mu = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} u_{k,m} d\mu \leq \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \min_{k \leq n \leq m} \int_{\Omega} u_n d\mu = \inf_{n \geq k} \int_{\Omega} u_n d\mu.$$

The sequence (v_k) increases to $\varliminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_n$ and

$$\int_{\Omega} v_k d\mu \leq \sup_n \int_{\Omega} u_n d\mu < \infty.$$

It follows from the preceding theorem that $\varliminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_n \in \mathcal{L}^1$ and

$$\int_{\Omega} \varliminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_n d\mu = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} v_k d\mu \leq \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \inf_{n \geq k} \int_{\Omega} u_n d\mu = \varliminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} u_n d\mu. \quad \square$$

Theorem 2.2.17 (Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem). *Let $(u_n) \subset \mathcal{L}^1$ and $f \in \mathcal{L}^1$ be such that*

- (a) u_n converges almost everywhere;
- (b) for every n , $|u_n| \leq f$ almost everywhere.

Then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_n \in \mathcal{L}^1$ and

$$\int_{\Omega} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_n d\mu = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} u_n d\mu.$$

Proof. Fatou's lemma implies that $u = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_n \in \mathcal{L}^1$ and

$$2 \int_{\Omega} f d\mu \leq \varliminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} 2f - |u_n - u| d\mu = 2 \int_{\Omega} f d\mu - \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} |u_n - u| d\mu.$$

Hence

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \int_{\Omega} u_n - u d\mu \right| \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} |u_n - u| d\mu = 0. \quad \square$$

Theorem 2.2.18 (Comparison theorem). *Let $(u_n) \subset \mathcal{L}^1$ and $f \in \mathcal{L}^1$ be such that*

- (a) u_n converges almost everywhere to u ;
- (b) $|u| \leq f$ almost everywhere.

Then $u \in \mathcal{L}^1$.

Proof. We define

$$v_n = \max(\min(u_n, f), -f).$$

The sequence $(v_n) \subset \mathcal{L}^1$ is such that

- (a) v_n converges almost everywhere to u ;
- (b) for every n , $|v_n| \leq f$ almost everywhere.

The preceding theorem implies that $u = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} v_n \in \mathcal{L}^1$. □

Definition 2.2.19. A real function u defined almost everywhere on Ω is measurable (with respect to μ) if there exists a sequence $(u_n) \subset \mathcal{L}$ such that $u_n \rightarrow u$ almost everywhere. We denote the space of measurable functions (with respect to μ) on Ω by $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}(\Omega, \mu)$.

Proposition 2.2.20. (a) $\mathcal{L} \subset \mathcal{L}^+ \subset \mathcal{L}^1 \subset \mathcal{M}$.

(b) If $u \in \mathcal{M}$, then $|u| \in \mathcal{M}$.

(c) If $u, v \in \mathcal{M}$ and if $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$, then $\alpha u + \beta v \in \mathcal{M}$.

(d) If $u \in \mathcal{M}$ and if, almost everywhere, $|u| \leq f \in \mathcal{L}^1$, then $u \in \mathcal{L}^1$.

Proof. Property (d) follows from the comparison theorem. □

Notation. Let $u \in \mathcal{M}$ be such that $u \geq 0$ and $u \notin \mathcal{L}^1$. We write $\int_{\Omega} u \, d\mu = +\infty$. Hence the integral of a measurable nonnegative function always exists.

Measurability is preserved by almost everywhere convergence.

Lemma 2.2.21. Let $(u_n) \subset \mathcal{L}^+$ be an almost everywhere increasing sequence converging to an almost everywhere finite function u . Then $u \in \mathcal{M}$.

Proof. For every k , there exists a fundamental sequence $(u_{k,n})$ such that $u_{k,n} \uparrow u_k$. The increasing sequence $v_n = \max(u_{1,n}, \dots, u_{n,n})$ converges to v , and almost everywhere,

$$v_n \leq \max(u_1, \dots, u_n) = u_n.$$

For $k \leq n$, we have, almost everywhere, $u_{k,n} \leq v_n \leq u_n$. Hence almost everywhere, $u_k \leq v \leq u$. It is now easy to conclude the proof. □

Lemma 2.2.22. Let $(u_n) \subset \mathcal{L}^1$ be an increasing sequence converging to an almost everywhere finite function u . Then $u \in \mathcal{M}$.

Proof. By Lemma 2.2.14, for every $n \geq 1$ there exist $v_n, w_n \in \mathcal{L}^+$ such that almost everywhere,

$$0 \leq u_n - u_{n-1} = v_n - w_n, w_n \geq 0, \int_{\Omega} w_n \, d\mu \leq 1/2^n.$$

Proposition 2.2.10 and the preceding lemma imply that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} w_n = w \in \mathcal{L}^+, \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} v_n = v \in \mathcal{M}.$$

Since almost everywhere, $u = v - w + u_0$, $u \in \mathcal{M}$. □

Lemma 2.2.23. *Let $(u_n) \subset \mathcal{M}$ be an increasing sequence converging to an almost everywhere finite function u . Then $u \in \mathcal{M}$.*

Proof. Replacing u_n by $u_n - u_0$, we can assume that $u_n \geq 0$. For every k , there exists a sequence $(u_{k,m}) \subset \mathcal{L}$ converging almost everywhere to u_k . We can assume that $u_{k,m} \geq 0$. By Levi's theorem,

$$v_{k,n} = \inf_{m \geq n} u_{k,m} \in \mathcal{L}^1.$$

For every k , $(v_{k,n})$ is increasing and converges almost everywhere to u_k . We define

$$v_n = \max(v_{1,n}, \dots, v_{n,n}) \in \mathcal{L}^1.$$

The sequence (v_n) is increasing and converges almost everywhere to u . By the preceding lemma, $u \in \mathcal{M}$. □

Theorem 2.2.24. *Let $(u_n) \subset \mathcal{M}$ be a sequence converging almost everywhere to a finite limit. Then $u \in \mathcal{M}$.*

Proof. By the preceding lemma,

$$v_k = \sup_{n \geq k} u_n \in \mathcal{M} \text{ and } \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} v_k = -\sup(-v_k) \in \mathcal{M}. \quad \square$$

The class of measurable functions is the smallest class containing \mathcal{L} that is closed under almost everywhere convergence.

Definition 2.2.25. A subset A of Ω is measurable (with respect to μ) if the characteristic function of A is measurable. The measure of A is defined by

$$\mu(A) = \int_{\Omega} \chi_A d\mu.$$

Proposition 2.2.26. *Let A and B be measurable sets and let (A_n) be a sequence of measurable sets. Then $A \setminus B$, $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$ and $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$ are measurable, and*

$$\mu(A \cup B) + \mu(A \cap B) = \mu(A) + \mu(B).$$

If, moreover, for every n , $A_n \subset A_{n+1}$, then

$$\mu\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n\right) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(A_n).$$

If, moreover, $\mu(A_1) < \infty$, and for every n , $A_{n+1} \subset A_n$, then

$$\mu\left(\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n\right) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(A_n).$$

Proof. Observe that

$$\chi_{A \cup B} + \chi_{A \cap B} = \max(\chi_A, \chi_B) + \min(\chi_A, \chi_B) = \chi_A + \chi_B,$$

$$\chi_{A \setminus B} = \chi_A - \min(\chi_A, \chi_B),$$

$$\chi_{\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \max(\chi_{A_1}, \dots, \chi_{A_n}),$$

$$\chi_{\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \min(\chi_{A_1}, \dots, \chi_{A_n}).$$

The proposition follows then from the preceding theorem and Levi's theorem. \square

Proposition 2.2.27. *A subset of Ω is negligible if and only if it is measurable and its measure is equal to 0.*

Proof. Let $A \subset \Omega$ be a negligible set. Since $\chi_A = 0$ almost everywhere, we have by definition that $\chi_A \in \mathcal{L}^1$ and $\mu(A) = \int_{\Omega} \chi_A d\mu = 0$.

Let A be a measurable set such that $\mu(A) = 0$. For every n , $\int_{\Omega} n\chi_A d\mu = 0$. By Levi's theorem, $u = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n\chi_A \in \mathcal{L}^1$. Since u is finite almost everywhere and $u(x) = +\infty$ on A , the set A is negligible. \square

The hypothesis in the following definition will be used to prove that the set $\{u > t\}$ is measurable when the function $u \geq 0$ is measurable.

Definition 2.2.28. A positive measure on Ω is an elementary integral $\mu : \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ on Ω such that

$$(\mathcal{J}_5) \text{ for every } u \in \mathcal{L}, \min(u, 1) \in \mathcal{L}.$$

Proposition 2.2.29. *Let μ be a positive measure on Ω , $u \in \mathcal{M}$, and $t \geq 0$. Then $\min(u, t) \in \mathcal{M}$.*

Proof. If $t = 0$, $\min(u, 0) = u^+ \in \mathcal{M}$. Let $t > 0$. There is a sequence $(u_n) \subset \mathcal{L}$ converging to u almost everywhere. Then $v_n = t \min(t^{-1}u_n, 1) \in \mathcal{L}$ and $v_n \rightarrow \min(u, t)$ almost everywhere. \square

Theorem 2.2.30. *Let μ be a positive measure on Ω and let $u : \Omega \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$ be almost everywhere finite. The following properties are equivalent:*

- (a) u is measurable;
- (b) for every $t \geq 0$, $\{u > t\} = \{x \in \Omega : u(x) > t\}$ is measurable.

Proof. Assume that u is measurable. For every $t \geq 0$ and $n \geq 1$, the preceding proposition implies that

$$u_n = n[\min(u, t + 1/n) - \min(u, t)]$$

is measurable. It follows from Theorem 2.2.24 that

$$\chi_{\{u>t\}} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_n \in \mathcal{M}.$$

Hence $\{u > t\}$ is measurable.

Assume that u satisfies (b). Let us define, for $n \geq 1$, the function

$$u_n = \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \chi_{\{u > k/2^n\}}. \quad (*)$$

For every $x \in \Omega$, $u(x) - 1/2^n \leq u_n(x) \leq u(x)$. Hence (u_n) is simply convergent to u . Theorem 2.2.24 implies that $(u_n) \subset \mathcal{M}$ and $u \in \mathcal{M}$. \square

Corollary 2.2.31. *Let $u, v \in \mathcal{M}$. Then $uv \in \mathcal{M}$.*

Proof. If f is measurable, then for every $t \geq 0$, the set

$$\{f^2 > t\} = \{|f| > \sqrt{t}\}$$

is measurable. Hence f^2 is measurable. We conclude that

$$uv = \frac{1}{4}[(u+v)^2 - (u-v)^2] \in \mathcal{M}. \quad \square$$

Definition 2.2.32. A function $u : \Omega \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$ is admissible (with respect to the positive measure μ) if u is measurable and if for every $t > 0$,

$$\mu_u(t) = \mu(\{u > t\}) = \mu(\{x \in \Omega : u(x) > t\}) < +\infty.$$

The function μ_u is the distribution function of u .

Corollary 2.2.33 (Markov inequality). *Let $u \in \mathcal{L}^1$, $u \geq 0$. Then u is admissible, and for every $t > 0$,*

$$\mu_u(t) \leq t^{-1} \int_{\Omega} u \, d\mu.$$

Proof. Observe that for every $t > 0$, $v = t\chi_{\{u>t\}} \leq u$. By the comparison theorem, $v \in \mathcal{L}^1$ and $\int_{\Omega} v \, d\mu \leq \int_{\Omega} u \, d\mu$. \square

Corollary 2.2.34 (Cavalieri's principle). *Let $u \in \mathcal{L}^1$, $u \geq 0$. Then*

$$\int_{\Omega} u \, d\mu = \int_0^{\infty} \mu_u(t) \, dt.$$

Proof. The sequence (u_n) defined by (*) is increasing and converges simply to u . The function $\mu_u :]0, +\infty[\rightarrow [0, +\infty[$ is nonincreasing. We deduce from Levi's theorem that

$$\int_{\Omega} u \, d\mu = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} u_n \, d\mu = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu_u\left(\frac{k}{2^n}\right) = \int_0^{\infty} \mu_u(t) \, dt. \quad \square$$

Definition 2.2.35. Let Ω be an open set of \mathbb{R}^N . The Lebesgue measure on Ω is the positive measure defined by the Cauchy integral

$$\mathcal{K}(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{R} : u \mapsto \int_{\Omega} u \, dx.$$

The Lebesgue measure of a measurable subset A of Ω is defined by

$$m(A) = \int_{\Omega} \chi_A \, dx.$$

Topology is not used in the abstract theory of the *Lebesgue integral*. In contrast, the concrete theory of the *Lebesgue measure* depends on the topology of \mathbb{R}^N .

Theorem 2.2.36. *We consider the Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{R}^N .*

- (a) *Every open set is measurable, and every closed set is measurable.*
 (b) *For every measurable set A of \mathbb{R}^N , there exist a sequence (G_k) of open sets of*

$$\mathbb{R}^N \text{ and a negligible set } S \text{ of } \mathbb{R}^N \text{ such that } A \cup S = \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} G_k.$$

- (c) *For every measurable set A of \mathbb{R}^N , there exist a sequence (F_k) of closed sets of*

$$\mathbb{R}^N \text{ and a negligible set } T \text{ of } \mathbb{R}^N \text{ such that } A = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} F_k \cup T.$$

Proof. (a) Let G be an open bounded set and define

$$u_n(x) = \min\{1, n \, d(x, \mathbb{R}^N \setminus G)\}. \quad (*)$$

Since $(u_n) \subset \mathcal{K}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and $u_n \rightarrow \chi_G$, the set G is measurable. For every open set G , $G_n = G \cap B(0, n)$ is measurable. Hence $G = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} G_n$ is measurable. Taking the complement, every closed set is measurable.

- (b) Let A be a measurable set of \mathbb{R}^N . By definition, there exist a sequence $(u_n) \subset \mathcal{K}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and a negligible set R of \mathbb{R}^N such that $u_n \rightarrow \chi_A$ on $\mathbb{R}^N \setminus R$. There is also $f \in \mathcal{L}^+$ such that $R \subset S = \{f = +\infty\}$. By Proposition 1.3.10, f is l.s.c. Proposition 1.3.12 implies that for every $t \in \mathbb{R}$, $\{f > t\}$ is open. Let us define the open sets

$$U_n = \{u_n > 1/2\} \cup \{f > n\} \quad \text{and} \quad G_k = \bigcup_{n=k}^{\infty} U_n.$$

It is clear that for every k , $A \cup S \subset G_k$ and $A \cup S = \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} G_k$. Since S is negligible by definition, the proof is complete.

- (c) Taking the complement, there exist a sequence (F_k) of closed sets of \mathbb{R}^N and a negligible set S of \mathbb{R}^N such that

$$A \cap (\mathbb{R}^N \setminus S) = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} F_k.$$

It suffices then to define $T = A \cap S$. □

Corollary 2.2.37. *Let $a < b$. Then*

$$m(]a, b[) = m([a, b]) = b - a.$$

In particular, $m(\{a\}) = 0$, and every countable set is negligible.

Proof. Let (u_n) be the sequence defined by (*). Proposition 2.2.10 implies that

$$m(]a, b[) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \chi_{]a, b[} dx = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} u_n dx = b - a.$$

Since $[a, b] = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty}]a - 1/n, b + 1/n[$, it follows from Proposition 2.2.26 that

$$m([a, b]) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} b - a + 2/n = b - a. \quad \square$$

Example. Let $\lambda > -1$. For every $n \geq 2$, the function

$$u_n(x) = x^\lambda \chi_{]1/n, 1[}(x)$$

is integrable by the comparison theorem. It follows from Levi's monotone convergence theorem that

$$\int_0^1 x^\lambda dx = 1/(\lambda + 1).$$

Let $\lambda < -1$. For every $n \geq 2$, the function

$$v_n(x) = x^\lambda \chi_{]1, n[}(x)$$

is integrable. It follows that

$$\int_1^\infty x^\lambda dx = 1/|\lambda + 1|.$$

Example (Cantor sets). Let $0 < \varepsilon \leq 1$ and $(\ell_n) \subset]0, 1[$ be such that

$$\varepsilon = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^n \ell_n.$$

From the interval $C_0 = [0, 1]$, remove the open middle interval $J_{0,1}$ of length ℓ_0 . Remove from the two remaining closed intervals the middle open intervals $J_{1,1}$ and $J_{1,2}$ of length ℓ_1 . In general, remove from the 2^n remaining closed intervals the middle open intervals $J_{n,1}, \dots, J_{n,2^n}$ of length ℓ_n . Define

$$C_{n+1} = C_n \setminus \bigcup_{k=1}^{2^n} J_{n,k}, \quad C = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} C_n.$$

The set C is the *Cantor set* (corresponding to (ℓ_n)). Let us describe the fascinating properties of the Cantor set.

The set C is closed. Indeed, each C_n is closed.

The interior of C is empty. Indeed, each C_n consists of 2^n closed intervals of equal length, so that \emptyset is the only open subset in C .

The Lebesgue measure of C is equal to $1 - \varepsilon$. By induction, we have for every n that

$$m(C_{n+1}) = 1 - \sum_{j=0}^n 2^j \ell_j.$$

Proposition 2.2.26 implies that

$$m(C) = 1 - \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} 2^j \ell_j = 1 - \varepsilon.$$

The set C is not countable. Let $(x_n) \subset C$. Denote by $[a_1, b_1]$ the interval of C_1 not containing x_1 . Denote by $[a_2, b_2]$ the first interval of $C_2 \cap [a_1, b_1]$ not containing x_2 . In general, let $[a_n, b_n]$ denote the first interval of $C_n \cap [a_{n-1}, b_{n-1}]$ not containing x_n . Define $x = \sup_n a_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n$. For every n , we have

$$[a_n, b_n] \subset C_n, x_n \notin [a_n, b_n], x \in [a_n, b_n].$$

Hence $x \in C$, and for every n , $x_n \neq x$.

For $\varepsilon = 1$, C is not countable and negligible.

Finally, the characteristic function of C is u.s.c., integrable, and discontinuous at every point of C .

The first Cantor sets were defined by Smith in 1875, by Volterra in 1881, and by Cantor in 1883.

2.3 Multiple Integrals

Fubini's theorem reduces the computation of a double integral to the computation of two simple integrals.

Definition 2.3.1. Define on \mathbb{R} , $f(t) = (1 - |t|)^+$. The family $f_{j,k}(x) = \prod_{n=1}^N f(2^j x_n - k_n)$, $j \in \mathbb{N}$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}^N$, is such that $f_{j,k} \in \mathcal{K}(\mathbb{R}^N)$,

$$\text{spt } f_{j,k} = B_\infty[k/2^j, 1/2^j], \quad \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^N} f_{j,k} = 1, \quad f_{j,k} \geq 0.$$

Proposition 2.3.2. Let Ω be an open set in \mathbb{R}^N and let $u \in \mathcal{K}(\Omega)$. Then the sequence

$$u_j = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^N} u(k/2^j) f_{j,k}$$

converges uniformly to u on Ω .

Proof. Let $\varepsilon > 0$. By uniform continuity, there exists m such that $\omega_u(1/2^m) \leq \varepsilon$. Hence for $j \geq m$,

$$|u(x) - u_j(x)| = \left| \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^N} (u(x) - u(k/2^j)) f_{j,k}(x) \right| \leq \varepsilon \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^N} f_{j,k}(x) = \varepsilon. \quad \square$$

Proposition 2.3.3. Let $u \in \mathcal{K}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Then

- (a) for every $x_N \in \mathbb{R}$, $u(\cdot, x_N) \in \mathcal{K}(\mathbb{R}^{N-1})$;
- (b) $\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N-1}} u(x', \cdot) dx' \in \mathcal{K}(\mathbb{R})$;
- (c) $\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u(x) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} dx_N \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N-1}} u(x', x_N) dx'$.

Proof. Every restriction of a continuous function is continuous.

Let us define $v(x_N) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N-1}} u(x', x_N) dx'$. Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem implies that v is continuous on \mathbb{R} . Since the support of u is a compact subset of \mathbb{R}^N , the support of v is a compact subset of \mathbb{R} .

We have, for every $j \in \mathbb{N}$ and every $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, by definition of the integral that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f_{j,k}(x) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} dx_N \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N-1}} f_{j,k}(x', x_N) dx'.$$

Hence for every $j \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u_j(x) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} dx_N \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N-1}} u_j(x', x_N) dx'.$$

There is $R > 1$ such that

$$\text{spt } u \subset \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : |x|_\infty \leq R - 1\}.$$

For every $j \in \mathbb{N}$, by the definition of the integral

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u(x) - u_j(x) dx \right| \leq (2R)^N \max_{x \in \mathbb{R}^N} |u(x) - u_j(x)|,$$

we obtain

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} dx_N \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N-1}} u(x', x_N) - u_j(x', x_N) dx' \right| \leq (2R)^N \max_{x \in \mathbb{R}^N} |u(x) - u_j(x)|.$$

It is easy to conclude the proof using the preceding proposition. \square

Definition 2.3.4. The elementary integral μ on $\Omega = \Omega_1 \times \Omega_2$ is the product of the elementary integrals μ_1 on Ω_1 and μ_2 on Ω_2 if for every $u \in \mathcal{L}(\Omega, \mu)$,

- (a) $u(\cdot, x_2) \in \mathcal{L}(\Omega_1, \mu_1)$ for every $x_2 \in \Omega_2$;
- (b) $\int_{\Omega_1} u(x_1, \cdot) d\mu_1 \in \mathcal{L}(\Omega_2, \mu_2)$;
- (c) $\int_{\Omega} u(x_1, x_2) d\mu = \int_{\Omega_2} d\mu_2 \int_{\Omega_1} u(x_1, x_2) d\mu_1$.

We assume that μ is the product of μ_1 and μ_2 .

Lemma 2.3.5. Let $u \in \mathcal{L}^+(\Omega, \mu)$. Then

- (a) for almost every $x_2 \in \Omega_2$, $u(\cdot, x_2) \in \mathcal{L}^+(\Omega_1, \mu_1)$;
- (b) $\int_{\Omega_1} u(x_1, \cdot) d\mu_1 \in \mathcal{L}^+(\Omega_2, \mu_2)$;
- (c) $\int_{\Omega} u(x_1, x_2) d\mu = \int_{\Omega_2} d\mu_2 \int_{\Omega_1} u(x_1, x_2) d\mu_1$.

Proof. Let $(u_n) \subset \mathcal{L}(\Omega, \mu)$ be a fundamental sequence such that $u_n \uparrow u$. By definition,

$$v_n = \int_{\Omega} u_n(x_1, \cdot) d\mu_1 \in \mathcal{L}(\Omega_2, \mu_2),$$

and (v_n) is a fundamental sequence. But then $v_n \uparrow v$, $v \in \mathcal{L}^+(\Omega_2, \mu_2)$, and

$$\int_{\Omega_2} v(x_2) d\mu_2 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega_2} v_n(x_2) d\mu_2.$$

For almost every $x_2 \in \Omega_2$, $v(x_2) \in \mathbb{R}$. In this case, $(u_n(\cdot, x_2)) \subset \mathcal{L}(\Omega_1, \mu_1)$ is a fundamental sequence and $u_n(\cdot, x_2) \uparrow u(\cdot, x_2)$. Hence $u(\cdot, x_2) \in \mathcal{L}^+(\Omega_1, \mu_1)$ and

$$\int_{\Omega_1} u(x_1, x_2) d\mu_1 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega_1} u_n(x_1, x_2) d\mu_1 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} v_n(x_2) = v(x_2).$$

It follows that $\int_{\Omega_1} u(x_1, \cdot) d\mu_1 \in \mathcal{L}^+(\Omega_2, \mu_2)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} u(x_1, x_2) d\mu &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} u_n(x_1, x_2) d\mu \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega_2} d\mu_2 \int_{\Omega_1} u_n(x_1, x_2) d\mu_1 \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega_2} v_n(x_2) d\mu_2 \\ &= \int_{\Omega_2} v(x_2) d\mu_2 = \int_{\Omega_2} d\mu_2 \int_{\Omega_1} u(x_1, x_2) d\mu_1. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2.3.6. *Let $S \subset \Omega$ be negligible with respect to μ . Then for almost every $x_2 \in \Omega_2$,*

$$S_{x_2} = \{x_1 \in \Omega_1 : (x_1, x_2) \in S\}$$

is negligible with respect to μ_1 .

Proof. By assumption, there is $u \in \mathcal{L}^+(\Omega, \mu)$ such that

$$S \subset \{(x_1, x_2) \in \Omega : u(x_1, x_2) = +\infty\}.$$

The preceding lemma implies that for almost every $x_2 \in \Omega_2$,

$$S_{x_2} \subset \{x_1 \in \Omega_1 : u(x_1, x_2) = +\infty\}$$

is negligible with respect to μ_1 . □

Theorem 2.3.7 (Fubini). *Let $u \in \mathcal{L}^1(\Omega, \mu)$. Then*

(a) *for almost every $x_2 \in \Omega_2$, $u(\cdot, x_2) \in \mathcal{L}^1(\Omega_1, \mu_1)$;*

(b) $\int_{\Omega_1} u(x_1, \cdot) d\mu_1 \in \mathcal{L}^1(\Omega_2, \mu_2)$;

(c) $\int_{\Omega} u(x_1, x_2) d\mu = \int_{\Omega_2} d\mu_2 \int_{\Omega_1} u(x_1, x_2) d\mu_1$.

Proof. By assumption, there is $f, g \in \mathcal{L}^+(\Omega, \mu)$ such that $u = f - g$ almost everywhere on Ω . By the preceding lemma, for almost every $x_2 \in \Omega_2$,

$$u(x_1, x_2) = f(x_1, x_2) - g(x_1, x_2)$$

almost everywhere on Ω_1 . The conclusion follows from Lemma 2.3.5. □

The following result provides a way to prove that a function on a product space is integrable.

Theorem 2.3.8 (Tonelli). *Let $u : \Omega \rightarrow [0, +\infty[$ be such that*

(a) *for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\min(n, u) \in L^1(\Omega, \mu)$;*

(b) $c = \int_{\Omega_2} d\mu_2 \int_{\Omega_1} u(x_1, x_2) d\mu_1 < +\infty$.

Then $u \in \mathcal{L}^1(\Omega, \mu)$.

Proof. Let us define $u_n = \min(n, u)$. Fubini's theorem implies that

$$\int_{\Omega} u_n(x_1, x_2) d\mu = \int_{\Omega_2} d\mu_2 \int_{\Omega_1} u_n(x_1, x_2) d\mu_1 \leq c.$$

The conclusion follows from Levi's dominated convergence theorem. □

2.4 Change of Variables

Let Ω be an open set of \mathbb{R}^N and let dx be the Lebesgue measure on Ω . We define

$$\mathcal{L}^+(\Omega) = \mathcal{L}^+(\Omega, dx), \mathcal{L}^1(\Omega) = \mathcal{L}^1(\Omega, dx).$$

Definition 2.4.1. Let Ω and ω be open. A diffeomorphism is a continuously differentiable map $f : \Omega \rightarrow \omega$ such that for every $x \in \Omega$,

$$J_f(x) = \det f'(x) \neq 0.$$

We assume that $f : \Omega \rightarrow \omega$ is a diffeomorphism. The next theorem is proved in Sect. 9.1.

Theorem 2.4.2. *Let $u \in \mathcal{K}(\omega)$. Then $u(f)|J_f| \in \mathcal{K}(\Omega)$ and*

$$\int_{\Omega} u(f(x))|J_f(x)|dx = \int_{\omega} u(y)dy. \quad (*)$$

Lemma 2.4.3. *Let $u \in \mathcal{L}^+(\omega)$. Then $u(f)|J_f| \in \mathcal{L}^+(\Omega)$, and (*) is valid.*

Proof. Let $(u_n) \subset \mathcal{K}(\omega)$ be a fundamental sequence such that $u_n \uparrow u$. By the preceding theorem, $v_n = u_n(f)|J_f| \in \mathcal{K}(\Omega)$ and (v_n) is a fundamental sequence. It follows that

$$\int_{\Omega} u(f(x))|J_f(x)|dx = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} u_n(f(x))|J_f(x)|dx = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\omega} u_n(y)dy = \int_{\omega} u(y)dy.$$

□

Lemma 2.4.4. *Let $S \subset \omega$ be a negligible set. Then $f^{-1}(S)$ is a negligible set.*

Proof. By assumption, there is $u \in \mathcal{L}^+(\omega)$ such that

$$S \subset \{y \in \omega : u(y) = +\infty\}.$$

The preceding lemma implies that the set

$$f^{-1}(S) \subset \{x \in \Omega : u(f(x)) = +\infty\}$$

is negligible. □

Theorem 2.4.5. *Let $u \in \mathcal{L}^1(\omega)$. Then $u(f)|J_f| \in \mathcal{L}^1(\Omega)$, and (*) is valid.*

Proof. By assumption, there exist $v, w \in \mathcal{L}^+(\omega)$ such that $u = v - w$ almost everywhere on ω . It follows from the preceding lemma that

$$u(f)|J_f| = v(f)|J_f| - w(f)|J_f|$$

almost everywhere on Ω . It is easy to conclude the proof using Lemma 2.4.3. □

Let

$$B_N = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : |x| < 1\}$$

be the *unit ball* in \mathbb{R}^N , and let $V_N = m(B_N)$ be its volume. By the preceding theorem, for every $r > 0$,

$$m(B(0, r)) = \int_{|y| < r} dy = r^N \int_{|x| < 1} dx = r^N V_N.$$

We now define *polar coordinates*. Let $N \geq 2$ and $\mathbb{R}_*^N = \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\}$. Let

$$\mathbb{S}^{N-1} = \{\sigma \in \mathbb{R}^N : |\sigma| = 1\}$$

be the *unit sphere* in \mathbb{R}^N . The *polar change of variables* is the homeomorphism

$$]0, \infty[\times \mathbb{S}^{N-1} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}_*^N : (r, \sigma) \longmapsto r\sigma.$$

Definition 2.4.6. The surface measure on \mathbb{S}^{N-1} is defined on $C(\mathbb{S}^{N-1})$ by

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^{N-1}} f(\sigma) d\sigma = N \int_{B_N} f\left(\frac{x}{|x|}\right) dx.$$

Observe that the function $f(x/|x|)$ is bounded and continuous on $B_N \setminus \{0\}$.

Since \mathbb{S}^{N-1} is compact, Dini's theorem implies that the surface measure is a positive measure.

Lemma 2.4.7. Let $u \in \mathcal{K}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Then

(a) for every $r > 0$, the function $\sigma \mapsto u(r\sigma)$ belongs to $C(\mathbb{S}^{N-1})$;

(b) $\frac{d}{dr} \int_{|x|<r} u(x) dx = r^{N-1} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{N-1}} u(r\sigma) d\sigma$;

(c) $\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u(x) dx = \int_0^\infty r^{N-1} dr \int_{\mathbb{S}^{N-1}} u(r\sigma) d\sigma$.

Proof. (a) The restriction of a continuous function is a continuous function.

(b) Let $w(r) = \int_{|x|<r} u(x) dx$ and $v(r) = \int_{\mathbb{S}^{N-1}} u(r\sigma) d\sigma$, $r > 0$. By definition, we have

$$v(r) = N \int_{B_N} u\left(\frac{r}{|x|}x\right) dx.$$

Choose $r > 0$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. By definition of the modulus of continuity, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| w(r+\varepsilon) - w(r) - \int_{r<|x|<r+\varepsilon} u(rx/|x|) dx \right| &= \left| \int_{r<|x|<r+\varepsilon} u(x) - u(rx/|x|) dx \right| \\ &\leq \omega_u(\varepsilon) V_N [(r+\varepsilon)^N - r^N]. \end{aligned}$$

The preceding theorem implies that

$$\int_{r<|x|<r+\varepsilon} u(rx/|x|) dx = \int_{|x|<r+\varepsilon} u(rx/|x|) dx - \int_{|x|<r} u(rx/|x|) dx = \frac{(r+\varepsilon)^N - r^N}{N} v(r).$$

Hence we find that

$$\left| w(r + \varepsilon) - w(r) - \frac{(r + \varepsilon)^N - r^N}{N} v(r) \right| \leq \omega_u(\varepsilon) V_N [(r + \varepsilon)^N - r^N],$$

so that

$$\lim_{\substack{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0 \\ \varepsilon > 0}} \left| \frac{w(r + \varepsilon) - w(r)}{\varepsilon} - r^{N-1} v(r) \right| = 0.$$

The right derivative of w is equal to $r^{N-1}v$. Similarly, the left derivative of w is equal to $r^{N-1}v$.

(c) The fundamental theorem of calculus implies that for $0 < a < b$,

$$\int_{a < |x| < b} u(x) dx = w(b) - w(a) = \int_a^b v(r) r^{N-1} dr = \int_a^b r^{N-1} dr \int_{\mathbb{S}^{N-1}} u(r\sigma) d\sigma.$$

Taking the limit as $a \rightarrow 0$ and $b \rightarrow +\infty$, we obtain (c). \square

Theorem 2.4.8. Let $u \in \mathcal{L}^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Then

(a) for almost every $r > 0$, the function $\sigma \rightarrow u(r\sigma)$ belongs to $\mathcal{L}^1(\mathbb{S}^{N-1}, d\sigma)$;

(b) the function $r \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{S}^{N-1}} u(r\sigma) d\sigma$ belongs to $\mathcal{L}^1(]0, \infty[, r^{N-1} dr)$;

(c) $\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u(x) dx = \int_0^\infty r^{N-1} dr \int_{\mathbb{S}^{N-1}} u(r\sigma) d\sigma.$

Proof. By the preceding theorem, the Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{R}^N is the product of the surface measure on \mathbb{S}^{N-1} and the measure $r^{N-1} dr$ on $]0, \infty[$. It suffices then to use Fubini's theorem. \square

Theorem 2.4.9. The volume V_N is given by the formulas

$$V_1 = 2, V_2 = \pi \quad \text{and} \quad V_N = \frac{2\pi}{N} V_{N-2}.$$

Proof. Let $N \geq 3$. Fubini's theorem and Theorems 2.4.5 and 2.4.8 imply that

$$\begin{aligned} V_N &= \int_{|x| < 1} dx \\ &= \int_{x_3^2 + \dots + x_N^2 < 1} dx_3 \dots dx_N \int_{x_1^2 + x_2^2 < 1 - (x_3^2 + \dots + x_N^2)} dx_1 dx_2 \\ &= \pi \int_{x_3^2 + \dots + x_N^2 < 1} 1 - (x_3^2 + \dots + x_N^2) dx_3 \dots dx_N \\ &= \pi(N-2) V_{N-2} \int_0^1 (1-r^2) r^{N-3} dr = \frac{2\pi}{N} V_{N-2}. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

2.5 Comments

The construction of the Lebesgue integral in Chap. 2 follows the article [65] by Roselli and the author. Our source was an outline by Riesz on p. 133 of [62]. However, the space \mathcal{L}^+ defined by Riesz is much larger, since it consists of all functions u that are almost everywhere equal to the limit of an almost everywhere increasing sequence (u_n) of elementary functions such that

$$\sup_n \int_{\Omega} u_n d\mu < \infty.$$

Using our definition, it is almost obvious that in the case of the concrete Lebesgue integral:

- Every integrable function is almost everywhere equal to the difference of two lower semicontinuous functions.
- The Lebesgue integral is the smallest extension of the Cauchy integral satisfying the properties of monotone convergence and linearity.

Our approach was used in *Analyse Réelle et Complexe* by Golse et al. [30].

Lemma 2.4.7 is due to Baker [4]. The book by Saks [67] is still an excellent reference on integration theory.

The history of integration theory is described in [39, 57]. See also [31] on the life and the work of Émile Borel.

An informal version of the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem appears (p. 121) in *Théorie du Potentiel Newtonien*, by Henri Poincaré (1899).

2.6 Exercises for Chap. 2

1. (Independence of \mathcal{J}_4 .) The functional defined on

$$\mathcal{L} = \left\{ u : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} : \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} u(k) \text{ exists} \right\}$$

by $\langle f, u \rangle = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} u(k)$ satisfies (\mathcal{J}_{1-2-3}) but not \mathcal{J}_4 .

2. (Independence of \mathcal{J}_5 .) The elementary integral defined on

$$\mathcal{L} = \{ u : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R} : x \mapsto ax : a \in \mathbb{R} \}$$

by

$$\int u d\mu = u(1)$$

is not a positive measure.

3. (Counting measure.) Let Ω be a set. The elementary integral defined on

$$\mathcal{L} = \{u : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R} : \{u(x) \neq 0\} \text{ is finite}\}$$

by

$$\int_{\Omega} u \, d\mu = \sum_{u(x) \neq 0} u(x),$$

satisfies

$$\mathcal{L}^1(\mathbb{N}, \mu) = \left\{ u : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} : \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |u(n)| < \infty \right\}$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{N}} u \, d\mu = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} u(n).$$

Prove also that when $\Omega = \mathbb{R}$, the set \mathbb{R} is not measurable.

4. (Axiomatic definition of the Cauchy integral.) Let us recall that $\tau_y u(x) = u(x - y)$. Let $f : \mathcal{K}(\mathbb{R}^N) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a linear functional such that
- (a) for every $u \in \mathcal{K}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $u \geq 0 \Rightarrow \langle f, u \rangle \geq 0$;
 - (b) for every $y \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and for every $u \in \mathcal{K}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $\langle f, \tau_y u \rangle = \langle f, u \rangle$.

Then there exists $c \geq 0$ such that for every $u \in \mathcal{K}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $\langle f, u \rangle = c \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u \, dx$.

Hint: Use Proposition 2.3.2.

5. Let μ be an elementary integral on Ω . Then the following statements are equivalent:
- (a) $u \in \mathcal{L}^1(\Omega, \mu)$.
 - (b) There exists a decreasing sequence $(u_n) \subset \mathcal{L}^+(\Omega, \mu)$ such that almost everywhere, $u = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_n$ and $\inf \int_{\Omega} u_n \, d\mu > -\infty$.
6. Let $\Omega = B(0, 1) \subset \mathbb{R}^N$. Then

$$\lambda + N > 0 \iff |x|^\lambda \in \mathcal{L}^1(\Omega), \lambda + N < 0 \iff |x|^\lambda \in \mathcal{L}^1(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \overline{\Omega}).$$

7. Let $u : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be such that for every $y \in \mathbb{R}$, $u(\cdot, y)$ is continuous and for every $x \in \mathbb{R}$, $u(x, \cdot)$ is continuous. Then u is Lebesgue measurable. *Hint:* Prove the existence of a sequence of continuous functions converging simply to u on \mathbb{R}^2 .
8. Construct a sequence (ω_k) of open dense subsets of \mathbb{R} such that $m\left(\bigcap_{k=0}^{\infty} \omega_k\right) = 0$. *Hint:* Let (q_n) be an enumeration of \mathbb{Q} and let $I_{n,k}$ be the open interval with center q_n and length $1/2^{n+k}$. Define $\omega_k = \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} I_{n,k}$.

9. Prove, using Baire's theorem, that the set of nowhere differentiable functions is dense in $X = C([0, 1])$ with the distance $d(u, v) = \max_{0 \leq x \leq 1} |u(x) - v(x)|$.

Hint: Let Y be the set of functions in X that are differentiable at at least one point and define, for $n \geq 1$,

$$F_n = \{u \in X : \text{there exists } 0 \leq x \leq 1 \text{ such that,} \\ \text{for all } 0 \leq y \leq 1, |u(x) - u(y)| \leq n|x - y|\}.$$

Since $Y \subset \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n$, it suffices to prove that $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} G_n$ is dense in X , where $G_n = X \setminus F_n$.

By Baire's theorem, it suffices to prove that every G_n is open and dense.

It is clear that

$$G_n = \{u \in X : \text{for all } 0 \leq x \leq 1, \text{ there exists } 0 \leq y \leq 1 \\ \text{such that } n|x - y| < |u(x) - u(y)|\}.$$

Let $u \in G_n$. The function

$$f(x) = \max\{|u(x) - u(y)| - n(x - y) : 0 \leq y \leq 1\},$$

is such that

$$\inf_{0 \leq x \leq 1} f(x) = \min_{0 \leq x \leq 1} f(x) > 0.$$

It follows that G_n is open.

We use the functions $f_{j,k}$ of Definition 2.3.1. Let $u \in X$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. Define

$$u_j(x) = \sum_{0 \leq k \leq 2^j} u(k/2^j) f_{j,k}(x),$$

$$g_m(x) = \varepsilon d(2^m x, \mathbb{N}).$$

Then for j and m large enough,

$$d(u, u_j) < \varepsilon, \quad u_j + g_m \in G_n.$$

It follows that G_n is dense.

10. (Iterated integrals, Baker 1990.) Let $K = [0, 1]^N$ and let μ be an elementary integral on Ω . Assume that $f \in \mathcal{L}^1(\Omega, \mu)$ and

$$F : K \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R} : (x, y) \mapsto F(x, y)$$

are such that

- (a) For almost all $y \in \Omega$, $F(\cdot, y)$ is continuous;
 (b) For all $x \in K$, $F(x, \cdot)$ is μ -measurable;
 (c) $|F(x, y)| \leq f(y)$.

Then:

- (a) The function $G(x) = \int_{\Omega} F(x, y) d\mu$ is continuous on K .
 (b) The function $H(y) = \int_K F(x, y) dx$ is μ -measurable on Ω .
 (c) $\int_K G(x) dx = \int_{\Omega} H(y) d\mu$.

Hint: Define on Ω

$$H_j(y) = 2^{-jN} \sum_{\substack{k \in \mathbb{N}^N \\ \|k\|_{\infty} < 2^j}} F(k/2^j, y)$$

and observe that

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} H_j(y) = H(y), \quad \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} H_j(y) d\mu = \int_{\Omega} H(y) d\mu.$$

11. (Proof of Euler's identity by M. Ivan, 2008).

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(a)} \quad \int_{-1}^1 dy \int_{-1}^1 \frac{dx}{1 + 2xy + y^2} &= \int_{-1}^1 \frac{\log \frac{1+y}{1-y}}{y} dy = 2 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \int_{-1}^1 \frac{y^{2n}}{2n+1} dy \\ &= 4 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2n+1)^2}. \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{(b)} \quad \int_{-1}^1 dx \int_{-1}^1 \frac{dy}{1 + 2xy + y^2} = \int_{-1}^1 \frac{\pi}{2\sqrt{1-x^2}} dx = \frac{\pi^2}{2}.$$

$$\text{(c)} \quad \text{The formula } \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2n+1)^2} = \frac{\pi^2}{8} \text{ is equivalent to the formula } \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2} = \frac{\pi^2}{6}.$$

12. Let $u \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap \mathcal{K}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Then

$$u(x) = \frac{1}{NV_N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{\nabla u(x-y) \cdot y}{|y|^N} dy.$$

Hint: For every $\sigma \in \mathbb{S}^{N-1}$,

$$u(x) = \int_0^{\infty} \nabla u(x-r\sigma) \cdot \sigma dr.$$

13. The *Newton potential* of the ball $B_R = B(0, R) \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ is defined, for $|y| > R$, by

$$\varphi(y) = \int_{B_R} \frac{dx}{|y - x|}.$$

Since B_R is invariant by rotation, we may assume that $y = (0, 0, a)$, where $a = |y|$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(y) &= \int_{B_R} \frac{dx}{\sqrt{x_1^2 + x_2^2 + (x_3 - a)^2}} \\ &= 2\pi \int_{-R}^R dx_3 \int_0^{\sqrt{R^2 - x_3^2}} \frac{r}{\sqrt{r^2 + (x_3 - a)^2}} dr \\ &= \pi \int_{-R}^R \left(\sqrt{R^2 + a^2 - 2ax_3} - a + x_3 \right) dx_3 \\ &= \frac{4}{3} \pi \frac{R^3}{a} = \frac{4}{3} \pi \frac{R^3}{|y|}. \end{aligned}$$

14. The *Newton potential* of the sphere \mathbb{S}^2 is defined, for $|y| \neq 1$, by

$$\psi(y) = \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} \frac{d\sigma}{|y - \sigma|}.$$

For $|y| > R$, we have that

$$\frac{4}{3} \pi \frac{R^3}{|y|} = \int_0^R r^2 f(r, y) dr,$$

where

$$f(r, y) = \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} \frac{d\sigma}{|y - r\sigma|}.$$

It follows that

$$4\pi \frac{R^2}{|y|} = R^2 f(R, y).$$

In particular, for $|y| > 1$,

$$\psi(y) = f(1, y) = \frac{4\pi}{|y|}.$$