



When is a 'forest' a savanna, and why does it matter?

Jayashree Ratnam^{1,2*}, William J. Bond³, Rod J. Fensham^{4,5},
William A. Hoffmann⁶, Sally Archibald⁷, Caroline E. R. Lehmann⁸,
Michael T. Anderson⁹, Steven I. Higgins¹⁰ and Mahesh Sankaran^{1,11}

¹Ecology and Evolution Group, National Centre for Biological Sciences, Bangalore 560065, India, ²Earth and Biosphere Institute, University of Leeds, Leeds LS92JT, UK, ³Department of Botany, University of Cape Town, Cape Town, ZA-7701, Rondebosch, South Africa, ⁴Queensland Herbarium, Toowong, QLD 4068, Australia, ⁵The Ecology Centre, Department of Biological Sciences, University of Queensland, St Lucia 4072, Australia, ⁶Department of Plant Biology, North Carolina State University, Raleigh, NC 27695 USA, ⁷Natural Resources and Environment, CSIR, ZA 0001 Pretoria, South Africa, ⁸School of Environmental Research, Institute of Advanced Studies, Charles Darwin University, Darwin, NT 0909 Australia, ⁹Department of Biology, Wake Forest University, Winston-Salem, NC 27109, USA, ¹⁰Institute of Physical Geography, University of Frankfurt, D-60438, Frankfurt, Germany, ¹¹Institute of Integrative and Comparative Biology, Faculty of Biological Sciences, University of Leeds, Leeds LS92JT, UK

*Correspondence: Jayashree Ratnam, Ecology and Evolution Group, National Centre for Biological Sciences, Bangalore 560065, India. E-mail: jratnam@ncbs.res.in

ABSTRACT

Savannas are defined based on vegetation structure, the central concept being a discontinuous tree cover in a continuous grass understorey. However, at the high-rainfall end of the tropical savanna biome, where heavily wooded mesic savannas begin to structurally resemble forests, or where tropical forests are degraded such that they open out to structurally resemble savannas, vegetation structure alone may be inadequate to distinguish mesic savanna from forest. Additional knowledge of the functional differences between these ecosystems which contrast sharply in their evolutionary and ecological history is required. Specifically, we suggest that tropical mesic savannas are predominantly mixed tree–C₄ grass systems defined by fire tolerance and shade intolerance of their species, while forests, from which C₄ grasses are largely absent, have species that are mostly fire intolerant and shade tolerant. Using this framework, we identify a suite of morphological, physiological and life-history traits that are likely to differ between tropical mesic savanna and forest species. We suggest that these traits can be used to distinguish between these ecosystems and thereby aid their appropriate management and conservation. We also suggest that many areas in South Asia classified as tropical dry forests, but characterized by fire-resistant tree species in a C₄ grass-dominated understorey, would be better classified as mesic savannas requiring fire and light to maintain the unique mix of species that characterize them.

Keywords

Degraded forests, fire tolerance, functional traits, mesic savannas, shade intolerance, South Asia, tropical dry forests, tropical savannas.

Savannas are mixed tree–grass systems characterized by a discontinuous tree canopy in a continuous grass layer (Scholes & Archer, 1997; House *et al.*, 2003, and references therein). Within the bounds of this definition, actual tree cover in the world's savannas is highly variable, such that they range from sparsely 'treed' grasslands to heavily 'treed' woodlands, often along a gradient of increasing precipitation, but also modified by edaphic factors (Scholes & Archer, 1997; Sankaran *et al.*, 2005; Lloyd *et al.*, 2008). This classical definition of savannas accurately captures the salient structural features of savanna vegetation, but contains little information about the functional ecology or evolution of these ecosystems. This distinction can be a critical one in a few important instances, as we illustrate below.

Across the globe, there is much concern over what is referred to as the 'savannization' of tropical forests but this is primarily a structural reference to loss of trees from tropical forest areas to clear felling or logging, often followed by fires (see Barlow & Peres, 2008, for more on the 'savannization' issue). While such degraded forest areas, which are extensive in the tropics, may 'look' like savannas due to low tree cover, their functional ecology in terms of which species predominate and how these communities respond to perturbation is entirely different from that of true savannas (Barlow & Peres, 2008; Malhi *et al.*, 2009). Likewise, at the mesic end of the tropical savanna biome where densely wooded savannas occur alongside forests, transitions between the savanna and forest may either be abrupt or may occur gradually through a savanna–forest

ecotone. In the latter case, distinguishing a ‘treed’ savanna from a degraded forest based only on vegetation structure may be problematic, whereas there would be large functional and compositional differences between the two ecosystems. Clearly, in these contexts, the structural definition of savannas alone is inadequate to distinguish mesic savanna from forest; what is additionally needed is knowledge of differences in the functional ecology of these ecosystems. In this contribution, we outline critical differences between tropical mesic savanna and forest environments, and identify a suite of contrasting physiological, morphological and life-history traits that differ between them from the individual to the community level. We suggest that these functional traits, which reflect both ecological function and evolutionary history, should be used to dis-

tinguish between mesic savannas and degraded forests (Key 1, Table 1) and thereby aid in appropriate management and conservation of these systems.

Recent literature on savannas explicitly recognizes that tropical savannas are predominantly mixed tree–C₄ grass systems (Bond *et al.*, 2003; Bond, 2008; Lehmann *et al.*, 2009; Bond & Parr, 2010; Edwards *et al.*, 2010). More importantly, regardless of the extent of tree cover, which can be highly variable, and with rare exceptions such as some regions of Neotropical cerrado where C₃ grasses co-dominate (Lloyd *et al.*, 2008; Edwards *et al.*, 2010), the presence of a C₄ grass-dominated understorey is the key feature that distinguishes tropical savanna from forest. C₄ grasses have two key traits which in turn feed back to define the functional ecology of mesic savanna

Key 1

A guide to distinguish between true forests, degraded forests and mesic savannas using a combination of community and species level traits.

1. Closed canopy (Forest)
1. Not closed canopy (2)
2. C₄ grass species absent (Not savanna)
2. C₄ species present (3)
3. Dominant tree species able to regenerate in closed canopy forest (Degraded forest)
3. Dominant tree species do not occur in forest (Savanna)
3. Tree habitat uncertain (refer to Table 1)

Table 1 Comparison of physical environments, species composition and traits of dominant tree species in savannas versus forests.

Habitat type	Mesic savanna	Forest
Environmental descriptors	High-light understorey Frequently burnt	Low-light understorey Fires rare, catastrophic
Vegetation composition	Trees Herbs C ₄ grasses	Trees C ₃ grasses Herbs
Adult trees		
Architecture	Relatively shorter Narrower canopy diameter for a given basal area	Relatively taller Wider canopy diameter for a given basal area
Bark	Thick bark	Thin bark
Canopy	Lower specific leaf area Open crowns and higher light penetration through canopy Post-fire recovery of canopy either epicormic, or from protected apical buds	Higher specific leaf area Dense crowns and lower light penetration through canopy Limited post-fire recovery of canopy
Saplings	Many have vertical pole-like architecture High root: shoot ratio Large underground storage Post-fire resprouting common under frequent, intense fires	Varied, branched and unbranched architecture Low root: shoot ratio Low underground storage Post-fire resprouting rare under frequent, intense fires
Seedlings	Rapid acquisition of resprouting ability through early allocation to root Persist through competition with C ₄ grasses and repeated fire to sapling stage	No obvious acquisition of resprouting ability Cannot persist through competition with grasses and repeated fires
Reproductive strategy of tree community	No or few species are obligate seeders, reproduction through root-suckering common	Reproduction through root-suckering uncommon

communities in high-rainfall regions: they are highly fire tolerant and highly shade intolerant. Given sufficient biomass (as occurs when rainfall is high), C₄ grasses are highly flammable when the grasses cure during the dry season. Consequently fire becomes a fundamental feature of the more humid savanna systems (Sage, 2004; Bond *et al.*, 2005; Beerling & Osborne, 2006; Bond, 2008; Cardoso *et al.*, 2008; Chuvieco *et al.*, 2008; Bowman *et al.*, 2009; Bond & Parr, 2010). We emphasize here that the source of the fire, whether natural or anthropogenic, is not useful in distinguishing between natural savannas and degraded forest systems, because anthropogenic fire has long replaced natural fire in almost all ecosystems. What is important is that C₄ grasses have high productivity, low decomposition rates, high C:N ratios, a fuel structure that readily carries fire and dry out rapidly in the dry season; they are thus inevitably flammable and promote fires where they produce sufficient biomass (d'Antonio & Vitousek, 1992; Mouillot & Field, 2005; Bond, 2008; Cardoso *et al.*, 2008). Because of this association with fire, both C₄ grasses and the savanna trees that grow with them are typically highly fire tolerant. In contrast, most C₃ grasses are shade loving (Klink & Joly, 1989) and do not tolerate fire as readily as C₄ grasses. They remain green much longer into the dry season, have smaller below-ground reserves and do not recover biomass as rapidly after fires (Ripley *et al.*, 2010), and thus do not drive the fire ecology of systems where they occur as do C₄ grasses.

Fires result in open, sunlit environments which lead to the other important characteristic of savanna communities: savanna species, both trees and C₄ grasses, do not readily tolerate shade. Indeed, C₄ grasses have high light requirements and are shade intolerant (Sage & McKown, 2006). In mesic areas, they indirectly depend on fires to maintain their preferred light levels, such that under regimes of fire exclusion these grasses can die from self-shading (Everson *et al.*, 1988; Uys *et al.*, 2004). Likewise, savanna trees appear to be largely shade intolerant as suggested by their inability to recruit in shaded conditions and their absence from shady, forest areas (Smith & Shackleton, 1988; Hoffmann *et al.*, 2004). Shade intolerance thus becomes the other defining feature of savanna systems, inseparably linked to prevalence of fires. Mesic savannas are thus relatively open, sunlit environments where C₄ grasses dominate the understorey and fires are a frequent occurrence. In contrast, forests are characterized by closed, shaded environments where C₄ grasses are absent from the understorey, which is dominated by herbaceous life forms and may contain some C₃ grasses. Forest species, both trees and understorey herbs and grasses, are largely shade tolerant but markedly fire intolerant (Uhl & Kauffman, 1990; Cochrane *et al.*, 1999; Barlow *et al.*, 2003; Barlow & Peres, 2008; Gignoux *et al.*, 2009). Although some forest species are shade intolerant, these are fast-growing pioneer species with a suite of life-history traits unlike those of savanna trees (Hoffmann & Franco, 2003).

A suite of morphological and physiological features of savanna trees (Table 1) are best interpreted as adaptations to fire.

1. Seedlings rapidly allocate resources to roots and large underground storage organs, both of which are important for estab-

lishment and resprouting in a fire-prone environment (Wilson & Witkowski, 1998; Hoffmann & Franco, 2003; Hoffmann *et al.*, 2003; Fensham & Fairfax, 2006; Overbeck & Pfadenhauer, 2007; Schutz *et al.*, 2009; Simon *et al.*, 2009; Wigley *et al.*, 2009; Bond & Parr, 2010).

2. Once established, saplings are often characterized by rapid upward growth as the sapling bolts to escape the flame zone (Higgins *et al.*, 2000; Wigley *et al.*, 2009). Since most fires in savannas tend to be surface fires, they generally only consume grass biomass and young trees, but not adult trees (Williams *et al.*, 1999; Hoffmann & Solbrig, 2003; Bond, 2008; Hanan *et al.*, 2008). As a consequence, savanna tree saplings are highly fire tolerant and generally recover by rapidly resprouting from large underground storage organs, often persisting through repeated fires that 'topkill' or remove all aboveground biomass (Hoffmann, 2000; Bond & Midgley, 2001; Hoffmann *et al.*, 2004; Schutz *et al.*, 2009).

3. As adult trees, many savanna species have thick bark which protects the inner cambium and minimizes damage from fire (Champion & Seth, 1968; Gignoux *et al.*, 1997; Hoffmann *et al.*, 2003, 2009). This feature, in combination with the surface fire regimes that are typical in savannas, results in very low fire-related mortality in adult savanna trees.

4. Finally, many savanna trees have the capacity to recover their canopy by epicormic sprouting and/or from protected apical buds following leaf scorch from fire (Burrows, 2002, 2008; Williams, 2009).

The frequent fires and high-light conditions that characterize savannas and the infrequent fires and shaded conditions that characterize forests are also reflected in the architecture of adult trees. Forest trees growing in shade are under selective pressure both to rapidly grow tall to access light and to grow wide to shade out neighbours. Forest trees may thus have tall trunks and branch into relatively wide and dense canopies. In contrast, mesic savanna trees, primarily under selection to escape from the flame zone, are shorter and, for a given girth, branch into crowns with relatively narrower diameters (Archibald & Bond, 2003; Rossatto *et al.*, 2009; Wigley *et al.*, 2009). Interestingly, adult savanna trees also have lower specific leaf areas (SLA; leaf area per unit leaf mass) than forest trees (Prior *et al.*, 2003; Hoffmann *et al.*, 2005; Rossatto *et al.*, 2009), and canopies that are less dense and more light permeable than forest trees (Hoffmann *et al.*, 2005). These features allow C₄ grasses and shade-intolerant savanna seedlings to persist in the understorey.

In savanna tree communities that are regularly burnt, species that are obligate seeders are virtually absent, while reproduction from root suckering is a common feature (Champion & Seth, 1968; Lacey and Johnston, 1990; Hoffmann, 1998; Wakeling & Bond, 2007). Critically, savanna trees are able to recruit and persist through *repeated* fires (Bond & Midgley, 2001; Gignoux *et al.*, 2009; Schutz *et al.*, 2009). In forest communities, both obligate seeders and resprouters occur, but evidence from more humid forests suggests that while some resprouters do survive individual fires, they are unable to tolerate repeated burning (Uhl *et al.*, 1981; Fensham *et al.*, 2003; Bowman, 2005; Barlow & Peres, 2008; Gignoux *et al.*, 2009). Studies from Neotropical dry

forests (Pinard *et al.*, 1999; Otterstrom *et al.*, 2006) and transitional evergreen forests (Balch *et al.*, 2008; Hoffmann *et al.*, 2009) suggest lower levels of fire-driven mortality in these tree communities following a single fire when compared with more humid forests, but little is known about the responses of these systems to repeated burning (but see Balch *et al.*, 2008). This potential differentiation between dry forests where fires occur at low frequency and humid forests where fire is a rare, catastrophic event clearly merits further study.

With fire protection, forest tree species can colonize a savanna (Bowman & Fensham, 1991; Fensham & Butler, 2004; Russell-Smith *et al.*, 2004; Hoffmann *et al.*, 2005; Rossatto *et al.*, 2009). Forest tree seedlings that colonize a savanna appear to have a wider range of shade tolerance and tend to present higher radial growth rates, and larger and denser crowns, despite the limited resources in savanna environments (Rossatto *et al.*, 2009). On the other hand, savanna seedlings appear to be far less shade tolerant than forest species (Lynch & Neldner, 2000; Hoffmann *et al.*, 2005), although this distinction requires more detailed investigation. In addition, it appears that there are other inherent barriers to the colonization of forest by savanna trees. Experimental evidence suggests that forest soils, despite being enriched in organic matter, may be hostile to savanna trees, potentially because of an antagonistic microbial environment (Bowman & Panton, 1993; Bowman & Fensham, 1995). These differences in seedling traits suggest that, all else being equal, tree species from forests are more likely to expand into savannas than vice versa. Indeed, several studies of the dynamics of forest–savanna boundaries in Asia, South America and Australia suggest a trend of forests expanding into adjacent savannas in recent historical time (Puyravaud *et al.*, 2003; Prior *et al.*, 2004; Russell-Smith *et al.*, 2004; Silva *et al.*, 2008; Rossatto *et al.*, 2009).

Regular fire regimes that are characteristic of mesic savannas sharpen the boundaries between savanna and forest. Fires extinguish at the savanna–forest edge where dry C_4 grasses are replaced by relatively moister leaf litter in the forest understorey (Biddulph & Kellman, 1998; Hennenberg *et al.*, 2006; Gignoux *et al.*, 2009). Fires also kill forest seedlings that have invaded savannas (Hoffmann, 2000; Fensham *et al.*, 2003; Fensham & Fairfax, 2006). The filtering of savanna and forest tree floras by fire and shade ensures that there are few species in common across regularly burnt boundaries, and this sharp turnover in species is diagnostic of two distinct biomes (Felfli & Silva Junior, 1992; Fensham *et al.*, 2003; Hoffmann *et al.*, 2009; Rossatto *et al.*, 2009; Bond & Parr, 2010). However, in extended forest–savanna ecotone regions where fire has been suppressed or where forests have been opened up by logging and disturbances, this distinction becomes blurred and may result in misidentification of a savanna as a forest and vice versa. However, a careful examination of functional traits of trees in these regions (Key 1, Table 1) should enable correct identification.

Recognizing whether a given area is a mesic savanna or a degraded forest is not merely a semantic problem; it can have important functional consequences for how such areas are conserved and managed. Well-developed closed forest stands retard

fire. When closed forests do burn, often after logging and under extreme weather conditions, the results are catastrophic (Nepstad *et al.*, 1999; Cochrane & Laurance, 2002; Laurance, 2003). Even slow-moving fires with low flame heights can be disastrous in causing the canopy to open up, generating more fuel and making the forest vulnerable to more fires (Cochrane *et al.*, 1999; Cochrane & Laurance, 2002; Barlow & Peres, 2004). Forests opened up by fire are often colonized by exotic weeds including shrubs such as *Lantana* and perennial grasses (d'Antonio & Vitousek, 1992; Panton, 1993; Fensham *et al.*, 1994; Hiremath & Sundaram, 2005) which may inhibit the recruitment of native tree seedlings (Hoffmann & Haridasan, 2008). Forest restoration in such degraded areas is difficult, requiring fire suppression in combination with weed removal and/or planting of native forest tree seedlings to restore communities.

In striking contrast, mesic savanna systems require frequent burning to maintain the compositional mix of trees and grasses. Across large areas in South and Southeast Asia, the status of grassy forests is uncertain. We suggest that many of these areas that are categorized as tropical dry forests should in fact be considered tropical mesic savannas according to the criteria we have listed. Fire suppression in such systems may be just as disastrous for ecosystem structure and composition as deforestation fires in closed forests (Durigan & Ratter, 2006). Stott (1988) evocatively compares the deciduous *Dipterocarp* and *Shorea* 'savanna forests' in Thailand to a 'phoenix', outlining a range of species traits that promote regeneration following fire. The suite of traits he describes include thick bark, rapid regrowth and resprouting from dormant root buds following fire – traits that we recognize as characteristic of mesic savanna tree species. Likewise, large tracts of peninsular India classified as 'tropical dry deciduous forests' (*sensu* Champion & Seth, 1968) are characterized by varied associations of fire-resistant *Anogeissus*, *Lagerstroemia*, *Terminalia* and *Tectona* species dominating the tree community, and tellingly, an understorey rich in tall C_4 grasses (W.J.B., J.J.R. and M.S., personal observations). Yet, across South and Southeast Asia, management of these 'forest' systems is often characterized by an official policy of fire suppression (Stott, 1990), with potentially deleterious impacts in the form of excessive fuel loads building up in the understorey and reduced recruitment of shade-intolerant seedlings. At the other extreme, in some community-managed 'tropical dry forest' systems in western India, annual burns are set for the collection of forest produce such that composition of the tree community has shifted towards a less diverse subset of the most fire-resistant, root-sprouting species (Saha & Howe, 2003). Reinstating the correct burning and/or grazing regimes in these areas may be an important component of their restoration to their original state.

The distinction between mesic savannas and forests is also important from the perspective of biodiversity conservation. Apart from the fact that these communities contain broadly different and distinct floras, diversity in the two communities is differently structured. Specifically, mesic savannas may harbour much of their biodiversity in the form of a diverse forb

community within the grass layer. They may also harbour a distinct and diverse community of light-demanding shrubs (Bond & Parr, 2010). For example, Stott (1990) reports a high diversity of understorey species, including many geophytes in mesic *Dipterocarp* savanna-forests from Thailand, while Uys *et al.* (2004) report high forb diversity in mesic savannas of South Africa. In a study of 40 savanna-grassland communities in southern India, Sankaran (2009) reports 278 species of herbs and grasses in the understorey, with most species highly restricted in their distribution, and many used in traditional medicine in the region. Where mesic savannas are inappropriately managed as forest systems with a primary focus on tree species, or where they are viewed as transitional communities on a successional trajectory to forests, this enormous diversity in the understorey and the mechanisms that maintain it may be overlooked.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This paper arose as a result of discussions at the second meetings of Working Group WG-49 'Savanna Structure and Variation' of ARC_NZ Research Network for Vegetation Function, which is supported by the Australian Research Council and Landcare Research NZ. S.H. thanks LOEWE-BiK-F for support. We thank all group members for the stimulating environment they made possible. We also thank Matt McGlone and two referees for their helpful comments on an earlier draft.

REFERENCES

- d'Antonio, C.M. & Vitousek, P.M. (1992) Biological invasions by exotic grasses, the grass/fire cycle and global change. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics*, **23**, 63–87.
- Archibald, S. & Bond, W.J. (2003) Growing tall versus growing wide: tree architecture and allometry of *Acacia karroo* in forest, savanna, and arid environments. *Oikos*, **102**, 3–14.
- Balch, J.K., Nepstad, D.C., Brando, P.M., Curran, L.M., Portela, O., de Carvalho, O. & Lefebvre, P. (2008) Negative fire feedback in a transitional forest of southeastern Amazonia. *Global Change Biology*, **14**, 2276–2287.
- Barlow, J. & Peres, C.A. (2004) Ecological responses to El Niño-induced surface fires in Central Brazilian Amazonia: management implications for flammable tropical forests. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, **359**, 367–380.
- Barlow, J. & Peres, C.A. (2008) Fire-mediated dieback and compositional cascade in an Amazonian forest. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, **363**, 1787–1794.
- Barlow, J., Lagan, B.O. & Peres, C.A. (2003) Morphological correlates of fire-induced tree mortality in a central Amazonian forest. *Journal of Tropical Ecology*, **19**, 291–299.
- Berling, D.J. & Osborne, C.P. (2006) The origin of the savanna biome. *Global Change Biology*, **12**, 2023–2031.
- Biddulph, J. & Kellman, M. (1998) Fuels and fire at savanna gallery forest boundaries in southeastern Venezuela. *Journal of Tropical Ecology*, **14**, 445–461.
- Bond, W.J. (2008) What limits trees in C4 grasslands and savannas? *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics*, **39**, 641–659.
- Bond, W.J. & Midgley, J.M. (2001) The persistence niche: ecology of sprouting in woody plants. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution*, **16**, 45–51.
- Bond, W.J. & Parr, C.L. (2010) Beyond the forest edge: ecology, diversity and conservation of the grassy biomes. *Biological Conservation*, **143**, 2395–2404.
- Bond, W.J., Midgley, G.F. & Woodward, F.I. (2003) The importance of low atmospheric CO₂ and fire in promoting the spread of grasslands and savannas. *Global Change Biology*, **9**, 973–982.
- Bond, W.J., Woodward, F.I. & Midgley, G.F. (2005) The global distribution of ecosystems in a world without fire. *New Phytologist*, **165**, 525–537.
- Bowman, D.M.J.S. (2005) Understanding a flammable planet – climate, fire and global vegetation patterns. *New Phytologist*, **165**, 341–345.
- Bowman, D.M.J.S. & Fensham, R.J. (1991) Response of a monsoon forest–savanna boundary to fire protection, Weipa, Northern Australia. *Australian Journal of Ecology*, **16**, 111–118.
- Bowman, D.M.J.S. & Fensham, R.J. (1995) Growth of *Eucalyptus tetradonta* seedlings on savanna and monsoon rainforest soils in the Australian tropics. *Australian Forestry*, **58**, 46–47.
- Bowman, D.M.J.S. & Panton, W.J. (1993) Factors that control monsoon-rainforest seedling establishment and growth in north Australian *Eucalyptus* savanna. *Journal of Ecology*, **81**, 297–304.
- Bowman, D.M.J.S., Balch, J.K., Artaxo, P. *et al.* (2009) Fire in the Earth System. *Science*, **324**, 481–484.
- Burrows, G.E. (2002) Epicormic strand structure in *Angophora*, *Eucalyptus* and *Lophostemon* (Myrtaceae) – implications for fire resistance and recovery. *New Phytologist*, **153**, 111–131.
- Burrows, G.E. (2008) *Syncarpia* and *Tristaniopsis* (Myrtaceae) possess specialised fire-resistant epicormic structures. *Australian Journal of Botany*, **56**, 254–264.
- Cardoso, M.F., Nobre, C.A., Lapola, D.M., Oyama, M.D. & Sampaio, G. (2008) Long-term potential for fires in estimates of the occurrence of savannas in the tropics. *Global Ecology and Biogeography*, **17**, 222–235.
- Champion, H.G. & Seth, S.K. (1968) *A revised survey of the forest types of India*. Government of India Press, Nasik.
- Chuvieco, E., Giglio, L. & Justice, C. (2008) Global characterization of fire activity: toward defining fire regimes from Earth observation data. *Global Change Biology*, **14**, 1488–1502.
- Cochrane, M.A. & Laurance, W.F. (2002) Fire as a large-scale edge effect in Amazonian forests. *Journal of Tropical Ecology*, **18**, 311–325.
- Cochrane, M.A., Alencar, A., Schulze, M.D., Souza, C.M., Nepstad, D.C., Lefebvre, P. & Davidson, E.A. (1999) Positive feedbacks in the fire dynamic of closed canopy tropical forests. *Science*, **284**, 1832–1835.
- Durigan, G. & Ratter, J.A. (2006) Successional changes in cerrado and cerrado/forest ecotonal vegetation in western São Paulo State, Brazil, 1962–2000. *Edinburgh Journal of Botany*, **63**, 119–130.

- Edwards, E.J., Osborne, C.P., Strömberg, C.A.E., Smith, S.A. & C4 Grasses Consortium (2010) The origins of C4 grasslands: integrating evolutionary and ecosystem science. *Science*, **328**, 587–591.
- Everson, T.M., Vanwilgen, B.W. & Everson, C.S. (1988) Adaptation of a model for rating fire danger in the Natal Drakensberg. *South African Journal of Science*, **84**, 44–49.
- Felfili, J.M. & Silva Junior, M.C.D. (1992) Floristic composition, phytosociology and comparison of cerrado and gallery forests at Fazenda Agua Limpa, Federal District, Brazil. *Nature and dynamics of forest–savanna boundaries* (ed. by P.A. Furley, J. Procter and J.A. Ratter), pp. 393–416. Chapman and Hall, London.
- Fensham, R.J. & Butler, D.W. (2004) The spatial pattern of dry rainforest colonising unburnt *Eucalyptus* savanna. *Austral Ecology*, **29**, 121–128.
- Fensham, R.J. & Fairfax, R.J. (2006) Can burning restrict eucalypt invasion on grassy balds? *Austral Ecology*, **31**, 317–325.
- Fensham, R.J., Fairfax, R.J. & Cannell, R.J. (1994) The invasion of *Lantana camara* L. in Forty Mile Scrub National Park, north Queensland. *Australian Journal of Ecology*, **19**, 297–305.
- Fensham, R.J., Fairfax, R.J., Butler, D.W. & Bowman, D.M.J.S. (2003) Effects of fire and drought in a tropical eucalypt savanna colonized by rain forests. *Journal of Biogeography*, **30**, 1405–1414.
- Gignoux, J., Clobert, J. & Menaut, J.C. (1997) Alternative fire resistance strategies in savanna trees. *Oecologia*, **110**, 576–583.
- Gignoux, J., Lahoreau, G., Julliard, R. & Barot, S. (2009) Establishment and early persistence of tree seedlings in an annually burned savanna. *Journal of Ecology*, **97**, 484–495.
- Hanan, N.P., Sea, W.B., Dangelmayr, G. & Govender, N. (2008) Do fires in savannas consume woody biomass? A comment on approaches to modeling savanna dynamics. *The American Naturalist*, **171**, 851–856.
- Hennenberg, K.J., Fischer, F., Kouadio, K., Goetze, D., Orthmann, B., Linsenmair, K.E., Jeltsch, F. & Porembski, S. (2006) Phytomass and fire occurrence along forest–savanna transects in the Comoé National Park, Ivory Coast. *Journal of Tropical Ecology*, **22**, 303–311.
- Higgins, S.I., Bond, W.J. & Trollope, W.S.W. (2000) Fire, resprouting and variability: a recipe for grass–tree coexistence in savanna. *Journal of Ecology*, **88**, 213–229.
- Hiremath, A.J. & Sundaram, B. (2005) The fire–*Lantana* cycle hypothesis in Indian forests. *Conservation and Society*, **3**, 26–42.
- Hoffmann, W.A. (1998) Post-burn reproduction of woody plants in a Neotropical savanna: the relative importance of sexual and vegetative reproduction. *Journal of Applied Ecology*, **35**, 422–433.
- Hoffmann, W.A. (2000) Post-establishment seedling success in the Brazilian cerrado: a comparison of savanna and forest species. *Biotropica*, **32**, 62–69.
- Hoffmann, W.A. & Franco, A.C. (2003) Comparative growth analysis of tropical forest and savanna woody plants using phylogenetically-independent contrasts. *Journal of Ecology*, **91**, 475–484.
- Hoffmann, W.A. & Haridasan, M. (2008) The invasive grass, *Melinis minutiflora*, inhibits tree regeneration in a Neotropical savanna. *Austral Ecology*, **33**, 29–36.
- Hoffmann, W.A. & Solbrig, O.T. (2003) The role of topkill in the differential response of savanna woody plants to fire. *Forest Ecology and Management*, **180**, 273–286.
- Hoffmann, W.A., Orthen, B. & Nascimento, P.K.V. (2003) Comparative fire ecology of tropical savanna and forest trees. *Functional Ecology*, **17**, 720–726.
- Hoffmann, W.A., Orthen, B. & Franco, A.C. (2004) Constraints to seedling success of savanna and forest trees across the savanna–forest boundary. *Oecologia*, **140**, 252–260.
- Hoffmann, W.A., da Silva, E.R., Machado, G.C., Bucci, S.J., Scholz, F.G., Goldstein, G. & Meinzer, F.C. (2005) Seasonal leaf dynamics across a tree density gradient in a Brazilian savanna. *Oecologia*, **145**, 307–316.
- Hoffmann, W.A., Adasme, R., Haridasan, M., Carvalho, M., Geiger, E.L., Pereir, M.A.B., Gotsch, S.G. & Franco, A.C. (2009) Tree topkill, not mortality, governs the dynamics of alternate stable states at savanna–forest boundaries under frequent fire in central Brazil. *Ecology*, **90**, 1326–1337.
- House, J.I., Archer, S., Breshears, D.D. & Scholes, R.J. (2003) Conundrums in mixed woody–herbaceous plant systems. *Journal of Biogeography*, **30**, 1763–1777.
- Klink, C.A. & Joly, C.A. (1989) Identification and distribution of C3 and C4 grasses in open and shaded habitats in São Paulo State, Brazil. *Biotropica*, **21**, 30–34.
- Lacey, C.J. & Johnston, R.D. (1990) Woody clumps and clumpwoods. *Australian Journal of Botany*, **38**, 299–334.
- Laurance, W.F. (2003) Slow burn: the insidious effects of surface fires on tropical forests. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution*, **18**, 209–212.
- Lehmann, C.E.R., Ratnam, J. & Hutley, L.B. (2009) Which of these continents is not like the other? Comparisons of tropical savanna systems: key questions and challenges. *New Phytologist*, **181**, 508–511.
- Lloyd, J., Bird, M.I., Vellen, L., Miranda, A.C., Veenendaal, E.M., Djabgletey, G., Miranda, H.S., Cook, G. & Farquhar, G.D. (2008) Contributions of woody and herbaceous vegetation to tropical savanna ecosystem productivity: a quasi-global estimate. *Tree Physiology*, **28**, 451–468.
- Lynch, A.J.J. & Neldner, V.J. (2000) Problems of placing boundaries on ecological continua options for a workable national rainforest definition in Australia. *Australian Journal of Botany*, **48**, 511–530.
- Malhi, Y., Aragão, L.E.O.C., Galbraith, D., Huntingford, C., Fisher, R., Zelazowski, P., Sitch, S., Mcsweeney, C. & Meir, P. (2009) Exploring the likelihood and mechanisms of a climate-change induced dieback of the Amazon rainforest. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences USA*, **106**, 20610–20615.
- Mouillot, F. & Field, C.B. (2005) Fire history and the global carbon budget: a 1° × 1° fire history reconstruction for the 20th century. *Global Change Biology*, **11**, 398–420.
- Nepstad, D.C., Veríssimo, A., Alencar, A., Nobre, C., Lima, C., Lefebvre, P., Schlesinger, P., Potter, C., Moutinho, P., Mendoza, E., Cochrane, M. & Brooks, V. (1999) Large scale

- impoverishment of Amazonian forests by logging and fire. *Nature*, **398**, 505–508.
- Otterstrom, S.M., Schwartz, M.W. & Velázquez-Rocha, L. (2006) Responses to fire in selected tropical dry forest trees. *Biotropica*, **38**, 592–598.
- Overbeck, G.E. & Pfadenhauer, J. (2007) Adaptive strategies in burned subtropical grassland in southern Brazil. *Flora*, **202**, 27–49.
- Panton, W.J. (1993) Changes in post World War II distribution and status of monsoon rainforests in the Darwin area. *The Australian Geographer*, **24**, 50–59.
- Pinard, M.A., Putz, F.E. & Licona, J.C. (1999) Tree mortality and vine proliferation following a wildfire in subhumid tropical forest in eastern Bolivia. *Forest Ecology and Management*, **116**, 247–252.
- Prior, L.D., Eamus, D. & Bowman, D.M.J.S. (2003) Leaf attributes in the seasonally dry tropics: a comparison of four habitats in northern Australia. *Functional Ecology*, **17**, 504–515.
- Prior, L.D., Eamus, D. & Bowman, D.M.J.S. (2004) Tree growth rates in north Australian savanna habitats: seasonal patterns and correlations with leaf attributes. *Australian Journal of Botany*, **52**, 303–314.
- Puyravaud, J.P., Dufour, C. & Aravajy, S. (2003) Rain forest expansion mediated by successional processes in vegetation thickets in the Western Ghats of India. *Journal of Biogeography*, **30**, 1067–1080.
- Ripley, B., Donald, G., Osborne, C.P., Abraham, T. & Martin, T. (2010) Experimental investigation of fire ecology in the C3 and C4 subspecies of *Alloterospis semialata*. *Journal of Ecology*, **98**, 1196–1203.
- Rossatto, D.R., Hoffmann, W.A. & Franco, A.C. (2009) Differences in growth patterns between co-occurring forest and savanna trees affect the forest–savanna boundary. *Functional Ecology*, **23**, 689–698.
- Russell-Smith, J., Stanton, P.J., Whitehead, P.J. & Edwards, A. (2004) Rain forest invasion of eucalypt-dominated woodland savanna, Iron Range, north-eastern Australia: I. Successional processes. *Journal of Biogeography*, **31**, 1293–1303.
- Sage, R.F. (2004) The evolution of C4 photosynthesis. *New Phytologist*, **161**, 341–370.
- Sage, R.F. & McKown, A.D. (2006) Is C4 photosynthesis less phenotypically plastic than C3 photosynthesis? *Journal of Experimental Botany*, **57**, 303–317.
- Saha, S. & Howe, H.F. (2003) Species composition and fire in a dry deciduous forest. *Ecology*, **84**, 3118–3123.
- Sankaran, M. (2009) Diversity patterns in savanna grassland communities: implications for conservation strategies in a biodiversity hotspot. *Biodiversity and Conservation*, **18**, 1099–1115.
- Sankaran, M., Hanan, N.P., Scholes, R.J. *et al.* (2005) Determinants of woody cover in African savannas. *Nature*, **438**, 846–849.
- Scholes, R.J. & Archer, S.R. (1997) Tree–grass interactions in savannas. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics*, **28**, 517–544.
- Schutz, A.E.N., Bond, W.J. & Cramer, M.D. (2009) Juggling carbon: allocation patterns of a dominant tree in a fire-prone savanna. *Oecologia*, **160**, 235–246.
- Silva, L.C.R., Sternberg, L., Haridasan, M., Hoffmann, W.A., Miralles-Wilhelm, F. & Franco, A.C. (2008) Expansion of gallery forests into central Brazilian savannas. *Global Change Biology*, **14**, 2108–2118.
- Simon, M.F., Grether, R., de Queiroz, L.P., Skema, C., Pennington, R.T. & Hughes, C.E. (2009) Recent assembly of the cerrado, a Neotropical plant diversity hotspot, by *in situ* evolution of adaptations to fire. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Science USA*, **106**, 20359–20364.
- Smith, T.M. & Shackleton, S.E. (1988) The effect of shading on the establishment and growth of *Acacia tortillis* seedlings. *South African Journal of Botany*, **54**, 375–379.
- Stott, P. (1988) The forest as Phoenix: towards a biogeography of fire in mainland south-east Asia. *Geographical Journal*, **154**, 337–350.
- Stott, P. (1990) Stability and stress in the savanna forests of mainland south-east Asia. *Journal of Biogeography*, **17**, 373–383.
- Uhl, C. & Kauffman, J.B. (1990) Deforestation, fire susceptibility, and potential tree responses to fire in the eastern Amazon. *Ecology*, **71**, 437–449.
- Uhl, C., Clark, K., Clark, H. & Murphy, P. (1981) Early plant succession after cutting and burning in the upper Rio Negro region of the Amazon Basin. *Journal of Ecology*, **69**, 631–649.
- Uys, R.G., Bond, W.J. & Everson, T.M. (2004) The effects of different fire regimes on plant diversity in southern African grasslands. *Biological Conservation*, **118**, 489–499.
- Wakeling, J.L. & Bond, W.J. (2007) Disturbance and the frequency of root suckering in an invasive savanna shrub, *Dichrostachys cinerea*. *African Journal of Range and Forage Science*, **24**, 73–76.
- Wigley, B.J., Cramer, M.D. & Bond, W.J. (2009) Sapling survival in a frequently burnt savanna: mobilisation of carbon reserves in *Acacia karroo*. *Plant Ecology*, **2003**, 1–11.
- Williams, P.R. (2009) Contrasting demographics of tropical savanna and temperate forest eucalypts provide insight into how savannas and forests function: a case study using *Corymbia clarksoniana* from north-eastern Australia. *Austral Ecology*, **34**, 120–131.
- Williams, R.J., Cook, G.D., Gill, A.M. & Moore, P.H.R. (1999) Fire regime, fire intensity and tree survival in a tropical savanna in northern Australia. *Australian Journal of Ecology*, **24**, 50–59.
- Wilson, T.B. & Witkowski, E.T.F. (1998) Water requirements for germination and early seedling establishment in four African savanna woody plant species. *Journal of Arid Environments*, **38**, 541–550.

BIOSKETCH

Working Group WG-49 'Savanna Structure and Variation' http://www.vegfunction.net/wg/49/49_Savanna_Structure.htm is a group of savanna ecologists from across the globe working together to better understand savanna structure and function. Using comparative data on savanna vegetation, climate, fire, edaphic factors and other drivers from multiple continents, the group is working towards a better understanding of the global distribution of savannas, similarities and differences between savannas on different continents and the potential drivers of these patterns.

Author Contributions: W.J.B., J.R., R.J.F. and W.A.H. conceived the ideas, all authors contributed to brainstorming and fine tuning of concepts and J.R. led the writing.

Editor: Matt McGlone