

SOLAR RESOURCE




Solar resource

- Radiation from the Sun
- Atmospheric effects
- Insolation maps
- Tracking the Sun
- PV in urban environment

Solar resource

- Solar resource is immense
 - Human energy use: 4.0×10^{14} kWh/year
 - Solar resource on Earth's surface: 5.5×10^{17} kWh/year

The physical potential of renewable energies




- Current annual Global Primary Energy Consumption (GPEC)
- Solar power (continents, 1,800 x GPEC)
- Wind energy (200 x GPEC)
- Biomass (20 x GPEC)
- Geothermal energy (10 x GPEC)
- Ocean and wave energy (2 x GPEC)
- Hydro energy (1 x GPEC)

Source: Nitsch F. "Technologische und energiewirtschaftliche Perspektiven erneuerbarer Energien, Deutsches Zentrum für Luft- und Raumfahrt (DLR)", 2007.

© EPIA 2009 - www.setfor2020.eu

Solar resource

- Solar resource is immense
 - Human energy use: 4.0×10^{14} kWh/year
 - Solar resource on Earth's surface: 5.5×10^{17} kWh/year




Local solar irradiance averaged over three years from 1991 to 1993 (24 hours a day) taking into account the cloud coverage available from weather satellites

Solar power systems covering the areas defined by the dark disks could provide more than the world's total primary energy demand (assuming a conversion efficiency of 8%).

Solar resource

- Solar resource is **immense**
 - Human energy use: 4.0×10^{14} kWh/year
 - Solar resource on Earth's surface: 5.5×10^{17} kWh/year
- Solar resource is **global** and **democratic**
- Solar resource is relatively **constant** but depends on
 - atmospheric effects, including absorption and scattering
 - local variations in the atmosphere, such as water vapour, clouds, and pollution
 - latitude of the location
 - the season of the year and the time of day

Solar resource




$$P_0 = \frac{\sigma T^4}{4\pi R_{sun}^2}$$

Power radiated per unit area
 $5.96 \times 10^7 \text{ W/m}^2$

Total radiative power (Stefan Boltzman) $T=5762\text{K}$

Surface area of sun



Solar resource



$$P_0 = \frac{\sigma T^4}{4\pi R_{sun}^2}$$

Ratio of surface areas of the 2 spheres

Solar constant average energy flux incident at the Earth's orbit:
1366 W/m²

$$S = \frac{4\pi R_{sun}^2}{4\pi D^2} P_0$$

Distance Sun-Earth




Solar resource



$$P_0 = \frac{\sigma T^4}{4\pi R_{sun}^2}$$

R_{sun}	$6.96 \times 10^5 \text{ km}$
D_{avg}	$1.5 \times 10^8 \text{ km}$
R_{Earth}	$6.35 \times 10^3 \text{ km}$



$$S = \frac{4\pi R_{sun}^2}{4\pi D^2} P_0$$

Energy incident on Earth


Total area of Earth

$$\frac{S \times \pi R_{Earth}^2}{4\pi R_{Earth}^2} = \frac{S}{4}$$

Average energy incident per unit area of surface of Earth:
342 W/m²

Solar resource

- Earth-Sun motion




$$\frac{H}{S} = 1 + 0.033 \cos\left(\frac{360(n-2)}{365}\right)$$

$H(\text{W/m}^2)$ is radiant power density outside the atmosphere; S is solar constant; n is day of the year


Solar resource

- Earth-Sun motion
 - **Solar declination:** angle between line joining centres of Earth and Sun and the equatorial plane



Solar resource


- Earth-Sun motion
 - **Solar declination:** angle between line joining centres of Earth and Sun and the equatorial plane



Solar resource


- Earth-Sun motion

- **Solar declination:** angle between line joining centres of Earth and Sun and the equatorial plane




Solar resource

- Earth-Sun motion
 - **Solar declination:** angle between line joining centres of Earth and Sun and the equatorial plane



Solar resource

- Earth-Sun motion
 - **Solar declination:** angle between line joining centres of Earth and Sun and the equatorial plane



Building orientation with the long axis facing south

$$\delta = \pi \frac{23.45}{180} \sin\left(2\pi \frac{284+n}{365}\right)$$


Declination in radians; n is the number of the day (Jan 1st = 1)

Solar resource

- Earth-Sun motion


$$\sin \alpha = \sin \delta \sin \phi + \cos \delta \cos \phi$$

$$\cos \psi = \frac{\sin \alpha \sin \phi - \sin \delta}{\cos \alpha \cos \phi}$$




Solar resource

- Optimum orientation: facing south (north in the southern hemisphere)
- Optimum inclination: local latitude – but not quite



Solar resource

Atmospheric effects




Solar resource

Atmospheric effects on solar radiation at the Earth's surface:

- a **reduction in the power** of the solar radiation due to absorption, scattering and reflection in the atmosphere;
- a **change in the spectral content** of the solar radiation due to greater absorption or scattering of some wavelengths;
- the **introduction of a diffuse** or indirect component into the solar radiation; and
- local variations in the atmosphere (such as water vapour, clouds and pollution) which have additional effects on the incident power, spectrum and directionality.


Solar resource

- **Air Mass** is a measure of the reduction in the power of light as it passes through the atmosphere and is absorbed by air and dust



$$AM = \frac{1}{\cos \theta}$$

Solar resource



Solar resource


$$\Phi = \frac{\text{photons}}{m^2 s}$$

$$E = \frac{hc}{\lambda} = \frac{1.24}{\lambda(\mu m)}$$


$$H\left(\frac{W}{m^2}\right) = \Phi \frac{hc}{\lambda}$$

$$F\left(\frac{W}{m^2 \mu m}\right) = \Phi \frac{hc}{\lambda^2}$$



$$H = \int_0^{\infty} F(\lambda) d\lambda = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} F(\lambda) \Delta\lambda$$



Solar resource




Solar resource




Solar resource

- Insolation: **Incoming Solar Radiation**
- Typical units: **kWh/m²/day**
- Affected by latitude, local weather patterns,...
- Šúri M., Huld T.A., Dunlop E.D. Ossenbrink H.A., 2007. *Potential of solar electricity generation in the European Union member states and candidate countries*. Solar Energy, 81, 1295–1305, <http://re.jrc.ec.europa.eu/pvgis/>.

Averaged Solar Radiation 1990-2004




Yearly Mean of Irradiance in W/m^2




Realized by Michel Albuissou, Mireille Lefèvre, Lucien Wald.
 Edited and produced by Thierry Ranchin. Date of production: 23 November 2006.
 Centre for Energy and Processes, Ecole des Mines de Paris / Ammines / CNRS.
 Copyright: Ecole des Mines de Paris / Ammines 2006. All rights reserved.


Yearly sum of global irradiation on vertical surface (kWh/m²) period 1981-1990



Yearly sum of global irradiation on horizontal surface (kWh/m²) period 1981-1990



Yearly sum of global irradiation on optimally-inclined surface (kWh/m²)
period 1981-1990




Yearly sum of global irradiation on 2-axis tracking surface (kWh/m²)
period 1981-1990

JRC
EUROPEAN COMMISSION

29

Global irradiation and solar electricity potential Horizontally mounted photovoltaic modules

Portugal



Yearly sum of global irradiation [kWh/m^2]

<1500 1600 1700 1800

<1125 1200 1275 1350

Yearly electricity generated by 1kW_{peak} system with performance ratio 0.75 [$\text{kWh}/\text{kW}_{\text{peak}}$]

Authors: M. Sári, T. Cebecauer, T. Huld, E. D. Dunlop


PVGIS © European Communities, 2001-2008

<http://re.jrc.ec.europa.eu/pvgis/>

0 25 50 km

Global irradiation and solar electricity potential Optimally-inclined photovoltaic modules

Portugal



Yearly sum of global irradiation [kWh/m^2]

1700 1800 1900 2000>

1275 1350 1425 1500>


Yearly electricity generated by 1kW_{peak} system with performance ratio 0.75 [$\text{kWh}/\text{kW}_{\text{peak}}$]

Authors: M. Sári, T. Cebecauer, T. Huld, E. D. Dunlop

PVGIS © European Communities, 2001-2008

<http://re.jrc.ec.europa.eu/pvgis/>

0 25 50 km



Solar resource




Figure 1: Long-term average of yearly sums of global horizontal irradiation (kWh/m^2 , time series representing years 1985, 1987, and 1989-2004)




Figure 2: Standard deviation (in %) of yearly sums of global horizontal irradiation (years 1985, 1987, and 1989-2004)




Figure 6: Relative difference of the highest yearly sum of global horizontal irradiation in relation to the long-term average (in %).




Figure 5: Relative difference of the lowest yearly sum of global horizontal irradiation in relation to the long-term average (in %).

- Coastal areas and higher mountains face wider variations (up to 10%)
- Winter is much more variable (up to x6) than summer months


Šúri M., Huld T., Dunlop E.D., Albuissou M., Lefèvre M., Wald L., 2007. *Uncertainties in photovoltaic electricity yield prediction from fluctuation of solar radiation*. Proceedings of the 22nd European Photovoltaic Solar Energy Conference, Milano, Italy 3-7.9.2007

Solar tracking

Compared to PV with modules fixed at optimum angle:

- Changing inclination twice a year contributes only marginally

Fixed mounting - two (seasonal) optimum angles



*Optimum angle
of modules
in summer [°]*

+ 1.5 to 4.5 %




*Optimum angle
of modules
in winter [°]*



Solar tracking


One-axis tracking



Horizontal axis pointing East-West




+ 0 to 21 %



Horizontal axis pointing North-South



+ 0 to 31 %




Vertical axis + modules mounted at optimum angle



+ 11 to 55 %




Optimum angle of modules [°]



Axis inclined at an optimum angle towards South




+ 12 to 50 %



Optimum angle of the axis [°]


Solar tracking

Two-axis tracking



+13 to 55 %

Highest achievable




Yearly sum of solar electricity generated from 1kWp PV system [kWh]

Solar tracking

Compared to PV with modules fixed at optimum angle:

- Changing inclination twice a year contributes only marginally (2-4%)
- 1-axis tracking PV with vertical or South-inclined axis generates only 1-4% less than 2-axis tracking system
- 1-axis tracking PV with horizontal axis-oriented E-W typically performs only slightly better than fixed mounting systems

Solar tracking



- An inclined axis tracking system orientated in the north-south direction is able to closely follow the Sun throughout the year, and have a relatively high acceptance for CPV applications.
- The vertical tracking, with optimum inclination, features a similar performance that an inclined axis tracking system orientated in the north-south direction.

Solar tracking





▲ Installing a screw foundation for a dual-axis tracking system (Deger-Traker) at Am Peterswald PV park in Germany: Bftec GmbH pre-drills the ground at the site and then the foundation is installed. The foundation's unusual form, which consists of a smooth side wall with a coil at the bottom (if necessary, two coils), guarantees a firm grip. The foundation can rotate in the ground without displacing or loosening the surrounding earth.

Solar tracking



Solar tracking

PVGIS exercise: Lisbon



Solar tracking

Shadowing effect

- Ground cover ratio = PV area / total area




Figure 13. Evolution of yearly energy yield in Almería for the tracking strategies considered here, for the pessimistic shading case and assuming a constant dirtiness degree of 3%

Solar in the city

PV potential in the urban landscape is harder to estimate

- ❑ **Geographical** solar potential
locations where this energy can be captured
- ❑ **Technical** solar potential
technical characteristics of the rooftop/equipment used
- ❑ **Economic** solar potential
only viable systems



Needs to take into consideration mutual shading between buildings, rooftop structures, etc.

Solar in the city


Google Project Sunroof

Savings estimator


Data explorer ^{BETA}

Solar 101


FAQ



Solar in the city




Solar in the city




Redweik et al, Solar Energy 97 (2013) 332–341

Solar in the city



Lat: 38.755402 | Lon: -9.157754 | Alt: 65.0 | Acc: 1.0m.



Yearly direct radiation (Wh/m²)

0.0



1181529.5

