









<section-header><section-header><text><text><text><text><text><text>













The momentum-space diagram drawn in Fig. 3.2b has as its coordinate axes the components $(p^0, p^1 = p_1 \equiv p_x, p^2 = p_2 \equiv p_y, p^3 = p_3 \equiv p_z)$ of the 4-momentum as measured in some arbitrary inertial frame. Because the squared length of the 4-momentum is always $-m^2$,

$$\vec{p} \cdot \vec{p} = -(p^0)^2 + (p_x)^2 + (p_y)^2 + (p_z)^2 = -m^2,$$
 (3.4c)

the particle's 4-momentum (the tip of the 4-vector \vec{p}) is confined to a hyperboloid in momentum space. This *mass hyperboloid* requires no coordinates for its existence; it is the frame-independent set of points in momentum space for which $\vec{p} \cdot \vec{p} = -m^2$.

24

 $\mathcal{E} \equiv p^0$ (3.4d)

(with the \mathcal{E} in script font to distinguish it from the energy $E = \mathcal{E} - m$ with rest mass removed and its nonrelativistic limit $E = \frac{1}{2}mv^2$), and we embody the particle's spatial momentum in the 3-vector $\mathbf{p} = p_x \mathbf{e}_x + p_y \mathbf{e}_y + p_z \mathbf{e}_z$. Therefore, we rewrite the masshyperboloid relation (3.4c) as

$$\mathcal{E}^2 = m^2 + |\mathbf{p}|^2. \tag{3.4e}$$

If no forces act on the particle, then its momentum is conserved, and its location in momentum space remains fixed. A force (e.g., due to an electromagnetic field) pushes the particle's 4-momentum along some curve in momentum space that lies on the mass hyperboloid. If we parameterize that curve by the same parameter ζ as we use in spacetime, then the particle's trajectory in momentum space can be written abstractly as $\vec{p}(\zeta)$. Such a trajectory is shown in Fig. 3.2b.

25





Specifically, the observer, in her inertial frame, chooses a tiny 3-volume

$$d\mathcal{V}_x = dx \, dy \, dz \tag{3.5a}$$

centered on location ${\cal P}$ (little horizontal rectangle shown in Fig. 3.3a) and a tiny 3-volume

$$d\mathcal{V}_p = dp_x \, dp_y \, dp_z \tag{3.5b}$$

centered on **p** in momentum space (little rectangle in the p_x - p_y plane in Fig. 3.3b). Ask the observer to focus on the set S of particles that lie in dV_x and have spatial momenta in dV_p (Fig. 3.3). If there are dN particles in this set S, then the observer will identify

$$\mathcal{N} \equiv \frac{dN}{d\mathcal{V}_x d\mathcal{V}_p} \equiv \frac{dN}{d^2\mathcal{V}} \tag{3.6}$$

as the number density of particles in phase space or distribution function.

28

PROOF OF FRAME INDEPENDENCE OF $\mathcal{N} = dN/d^2 \mathcal{V}$

To prove the frame independence of \mathcal{N} , we shall consider the frame dependence of the spatial 3-volume $d\mathcal{V}_x$, then the frame dependence of the momentum 3-volume $d\mathcal{V}_p$, and finally the frame dependence of their product $d^2\mathcal{V} = d\mathcal{V}_x d\mathcal{V}_p$ and thence of the distribution function $\mathcal{N} = dN/d^2\mathcal{V}$.

The thing that identifies the 3-volume $d\mathcal{V}_x$ and 3-momentum $d\mathcal{V}_p$ is the set of particles S. We select that set once and for all and hold it fixed, and correspondingly, the number of particles dN in the set is fixed. Moreover, we assume that the particles' rest mass m is nonzero and shall deal with the zero-rest-mass case at the end by taking the limit $m \to 0$. Then there is a preferred frame in which to observe the particles S: their own rest frame, which we identify by a prime.

In their rest frame and at a chosen event \mathcal{P} , the particles S occupy the interior of some box with imaginary walls that has some 3-volume $d\mathcal{V}_{x'}$. As seen in some other "laboratory" frame, their box has a Lorentz-contracted volume $d\mathcal{V}_x = \sqrt{1-v^2} d\mathcal{V}_{x'}$. Here v is their speed as seen in the laboratory frame. The Lorentz-contraction factor is related to the particles' energy, as measured in the laboratory frame, by $\sqrt{1-v^2} = m/\mathcal{E}$, and therefore $\mathcal{E}d\mathcal{V}_x = md\mathcal{V}_{x'}$. The right-hand side is a frame-independent constant m times a well-defined number that everyone can agree on: the particles' rest-frame volume $d\mathcal{V}_{x'}$, i.e.,

 $\mathcal{E}d\mathcal{V}_x =$ (a frame-independent quantity).

(3.7a)

Thus, the spatial volume $d\mathcal{V}_x$ occupied by the particles is frame dependent, and their energy \mathcal{E} is frame dependent, but the product of the two is independent of reference frame.



30

Turn now to the frame dependence of the particles' 3-volume $d\mathcal{V}_p$. As one sees from Fig. 3.3b, $d\mathcal{V}_p$ is the projection of the frame-independent mass-hyperboloid region $d\vec{\Sigma}_p$ onto the laboratory's xyz 3-space. Equivalently, it is the time component $d\Sigma_p^0$ of $d\vec{\Sigma}_p$. Now, the 4-vector $d\vec{\Sigma}_p$, like the 4-momentum \vec{p} , is orthogonal to the mass hyperboloid at the common point where they intersect it, and therefore $d\vec{\Sigma}_p$ is parallel to \vec{p} . This means that, when one goes from one reference frame to another, the time components of these two vectors will grow or shrink in the same manner: $d\Sigma_p^0 = d\mathcal{V}_p$ is proportional to $p^0 = \mathcal{E}$, so their ratio must be frame independent:

$$\frac{d\mathcal{V}_p}{\mathcal{E}} = \text{(a frame-independent quantity)}.$$
(3.7b)

(If this sophisticated argument seems too slippery to you, then you can develop an alternative, more elementary proof using simpler 2-dimensional spacetime diagrams: Ex. 3.1.)

By taking the product of Eqs. (3.7a) and (3.7b) we see that for our chosen set of particles $\mathcal{S},$

 $d\mathcal{V}_x d\mathcal{V}_p = d^2 \mathcal{V} =$ (a frame-independent quantity); (3.7c)

and since the number of particles in the set, dN, is obviously frame-independent, we conclude that

$$\mathcal{N} = \frac{dN}{d\mathcal{V}_x d\mathcal{V}_p} \equiv \frac{dN}{d^2\mathcal{V}} = \text{(a frame-independent quantity).}$$
(3.8)





35

The photons' specific intensity, as measured by the observer, is defined to be the total energy

$$d\mathcal{E} = hvdN \tag{3.11d}$$

(where dN is the number of photons) that crosses the CCD per unit area dA, per unit time dt, per unit frequency dv, and per unit solid angle $d\Omega$ (i.e., per unit everything):

$$I_{\nu} \equiv \frac{d\mathcal{E}}{dAdtd\nu d\Omega}.$$
(3.12)

(This I_{ν} is sometimes denoted $I_{\nu\Omega}$.) From Eqs. (3.8), (3.11), and (3.12) we readily deduce the following relationship between this specific intensity and the distribution function:

$$\mathcal{N} = \frac{c^2}{h^4} \frac{I_\nu}{\nu^3}.$$
(3.13)

This relation shows that, with an appropriate renormalization, I_{ν}/ν^3 is the photons' distribution function.

34

Mean occupation number η

As an aid in defining the mean occupation number, we introduce the concept of the density of states: Consider a particle of mass m, described quantum mechanically. Suppose that the particle is known to be located in a volume $d\mathcal{V}_x$ (as observed in a specific inertial reference frame) and to have a spatial momentum in the region $d\mathcal{V}_p$ centered on **p**. Suppose, further, that the particle does not interact with any other particles or fields; for example, ignore Coulomb interactions. (In portions of Chaps. 4 and 5, we include interactions.) Then how many single-particle quantum mechanical states³ are available to the free particle? This question is answered most easily by constructing (in some arbitrary inertial frame) a complete set of wave functions for the particle's spatial degrees of freedom, with the wave functions (i) confined to be eigenfunctions of the momentum operator and (ii) confined to satisfy the standard periodic boundary conditions on the walls of a box with volume $d\mathcal{V}_x$. For simplicity, let the box have edge length L along each of the three spatial axes of the Cartesian spatial coordinates, so $dV_x = L^3$. (This L is arbitrary and will drop out of our analysis shortly.) Then a complete set of wave functions satisfying (i) and (ii) is the set $\{\psi_{j,k,l}\}$ with

$$\psi_{j,k,l}(x, y, z) = \frac{1}{L^{3/2}} e^{i(2\pi/L)(jx+ky+lz)} e^{-i\omega t}$$
(3.14a)

The basis states (3.14a) are eigenfunctions of the momentum operator $(\hbar/i)\nabla$ with momentum eigenvalues $p_x=\frac{2\pi\hbar}{L}j\;,\quad p_y=\frac{2\pi\hbar}{L}k\;,\quad p_z=\frac{2\pi\hbar}{L}l;$ (3.14b) correspondingly, the wave function's frequency ω has the following values in Newtonian theory N and relativity R : $\label{eq:main_state} \hbar\omega = E = \frac{\mathbf{p}^2}{2m} = \frac{1}{2m} \left(\frac{2\pi\,\hbar}{L}\right)^2 (j^2 + k^2 + l^2);$ (3.14c) **R** $\hbar \omega = \mathcal{E} = \sqrt{m^2 + \mathbf{p}^2} \rightarrow m + E$ in the Newtonian limit. (3.14d) Equations (3.14b) tell us that the allowed values of the momentum are confined to lattice sites in 3-momentum space with one site in each cube of side $2\pi \hbar/L$. Correspondingly, the total number of states in the region $d\mathcal{V}_x d\mathcal{V}_p$ of phase space is the number of cubes of side $2\pi \hbar/L$ in the region $d\mathcal{V}_p$ of momentum space: $dN_{\text{states}} = \frac{d\mathcal{V}_p}{(2\pi\hbar/L)^3} = \frac{L^3 d\mathcal{V}_p}{(2\pi\hbar)^3} = \frac{d\mathcal{V}_x d\mathcal{V}_p}{h^3}.$ (3.15) This is true no matter how relativistic or nonrelativistic the particle may be. Thus far we have considered only the particle's spatial degrees of freedom. Particles can also have an internal degree of freedom called "spin." For a particle with spin s, the number of independent spin states is $g_s = \begin{cases} 2s+1 & \text{if } m \neq 0 \text{ (e.g., an electron, proton, or atomic nucleus)} \\ 2 & \text{if } m = 0 \text{ and } s > 0 \text{ [e.g., a photon } (s=1) \text{ or graviton } (s=2) \text{]} \\ 1 & \text{if } m = 0 \text{ and } s = 0 \text{ (i.e., a hypothetical massless scalar particle)} \end{cases}$ 36 (3.16)





Fermions, bosons and the classical limit $0 \le \eta \le 1$ for fermions, $0 \le \eta < \infty$ for bosons. (3.19) Quantum theory also teaches us that, when $\eta \ll 1$, the particles, whether fermions or bosons, behave like classical, discrete, distinguishable particles; and when $\eta \gg 1$ (possible only for bosons), the particles behave like a classical wave—if the particles are photons (s = 1), like a classical electromagnetic wave; and if they are gravitons (s = 2), like a classical gravitational wave. This role of η in revealing the particles' physical behavior will motivate us frequently to use η as our distribution function instead of N.



Classical or Boltzmann distribution function

The regime $\mu \ll -k_B T$, the mean occupation number is small compared to unity for all particle energies *E* (since *E* is never negative; i.e., \mathcal{E} is never less than *m*). This is the domain of distinguishable, classical particles, and in it both the Fermi-Dirac and Bose-Einstein distributions become

$$\eta \simeq e^{-(E-\mu)/(k_BT)} = e^{-(\mathcal{E}-\tilde{\mu})/(k_BT)}$$

when $\mu \equiv \tilde{\mu} - m \ll -k_BT$ (classical particles).

41





(3.31)







Equations of state

If the Newtonian distribution function is isotropic in momentum space (i.e., is a function only of the magnitude $p \equiv |\mathbf{p}| = \sqrt{p_x^2 + p_y^2 + p_z^2}$ of the momentum, as is the case, e.g., when the particle distribution is thermalized), then the particle flux **S** vanishes (equal numbers of particles travel in all directions), and the stress tensor is isotropic: $\mathbf{T} = P\mathbf{g}$, or $T_{jk} = P\delta_{jk}$. Thus, it is the stress tensor of a perfect fluid. [Here *P* is the isotropic pressure, and **g** is the metric tensor of Euclidian 3-space, with Cartesian components equal to the Kronecker delta; Eq. (1.9f).] In this isotropic case, the pressure can be computed most easily as 1/3 the trace of the stress tensor (3.32d):

$$P = \frac{1}{3}T_{jj} = \frac{1}{3}\int \mathcal{N}(p_x^2 + p_y^2 + p_z^2)\frac{d\mathcal{V}_p}{m}$$
$$= \frac{1}{3}\int_0^\infty \mathcal{N}p^2\frac{4\pi p^2 dp}{m} = \frac{4\pi}{3m}\int_0^\infty \mathcal{N}p^4 dp.$$
(3.37a)

47

Here in the third step we have written the momentum-volume element in spherical polar coordinates as $d\mathcal{V}_p = p^2 \sin \theta d\theta d\phi dp$ and have integrated over angles to get $4\pi p^2 dp$. Similarly, we can reexpress the number density of particles (3.32a) and the corresponding mass density as

$$n = 4\pi \int_0^\infty \mathcal{N} p^2 dp, \qquad \rho \equiv mn = 4\pi m \int_0^\infty \mathcal{N} p^2 dp. \tag{3.37b}$$

Finally, because each particle carries an energy $E = p^2/(2m)$, the energy density in this isotropic case (which we shall denote by *U*) is 3/2 the pressure:

$$U = \int \frac{p^2}{2m} \mathcal{N} d\mathcal{V}_p = \frac{4\pi}{2m} \int_0^\infty \mathcal{N} p^4 dp = \frac{3}{2} P$$
(3.37c)

[cf. Eq. (3.37a)].

48

If we know the distribution function for an isotropic collection of particles, Eqs. (3.37) give us a straightforward way of computing the collection's number density of particles *n*, mass density $\rho = nm$, perfect-fluid energy density *U*, and perfect-fluid pressure *P* as measured in the particles' mean rest frame. For a thermalized gas, the distribution functions (3.22a), (3.22b), and (3.22d) [with $\mathcal{N} = (g_s/h^3)\eta$] depend on two parameters: the temperature *T* and chemical potential μ , so this calculation gives *n*, *U*, and *P* in terms of μ and *T*. One can then invert $n(\mu, T)$ to get $\mu(n, T)$ and insert the result into the expressions for *U* and *P* to obtain *equations of state* for thermalized, nonrelativistic particles:

$$U = U(\rho, T), \quad P = P(\rho, T).$$
 (3.38)

For a gas of nonrelativistic, classical particles, the distribution function is Boltzmann [Eq. (3.22d)], $\mathcal{N} = (g_s/h^3)e^{(\mu-E)/(k_BT)}$, with $E = p^2/(2m)$, and this procedure gives, quite easily (Ex. 3.8):

$$n = \frac{g_s e^{\mu/(k_B T)}}{\lambda_{TdB}^3} = \frac{g_s}{h^3} (2\pi m k_B T)^{3/2} e^{\mu/(k_B T)},$$

$$U = \frac{3}{2} n k_B T, \quad P = n k_B T.$$
(3.39a)
(3.39b)

Notice that the mean energy per particle is (cf. Ex. 3.4b)

$$\bar{E} = \frac{3}{2} k_B T . \tag{3.39c}$$



Relativistic Number-Flux 4-Vector **S** and Stress-Energy Tensor T

When we switch from Newtonian theory to special relativity's 4-dimensional spacetime viewpoint, we require that all physical quantities be described by geometric, frame-independent objects (scalars, vectors, tensors, ...) in 4-dimensional spacetime. We can construct such objects as momentum-space integrals over the frame-independent, relativistic distribution function $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{P}, \vec{p}) = (g_s/h^3)\eta$. The frame-independent quantities that can appear in these integrals are (i) \mathcal{N} itself, (ii) the particle 4-momentum \vec{p} , and (iii) the frame-independent integration element $d\mathcal{V}_p/\mathcal{E}$ [Eq. (3.7b)], which takes the form $dp_x dp_y dp_z/\sqrt{m^2 + \mathbf{p}^2}$ in any inertial reference frame. By analogy with the Newtonian regime, the most interesting such integrals are the lowest three moments of the distribution function:







By contrast, the 4-vector field \hat{S} of Eq. (3.33b) plays a very important role in physics. Its time component in our chosen frame is

$$S^{0} = \int \frac{dN}{d\mathcal{V}_{x}d\mathcal{V}_{p}} \frac{p^{0}}{\mathcal{E}} d\mathcal{V}_{p} = \int \frac{dN}{d\mathcal{V}_{x}d\mathcal{V}_{p}} d\mathcal{V}_{p}$$
(3.35a)

(since p^0 and \mathcal{E} are just different notations for the same thing—the relativistic energy $\sqrt{m^2 + \mathbf{p}^2}$ of a particle). Obviously, this S^0 is the number of particles per unit spatial volume as measured in our chosen inertial frame:

 $S^0 = n =$ (number density of particles). (3.35b)

54



<section-header><text><text><equation-block><text><text><text><text><equation-block><equation-block><equation-block><equation-block><equation-block><equation-block><equation-block><equation-block><equation-block><equation-block><equation-block><equation-block><equation-block><equation-block><equation-block><text><text><text>

Components of T: energy&momentum density and stress (flux of momentum)

Turn to the quantity T defined by the integral (3.33c). When we perform a 3+1 split of it in our chosen inertial frame, we find the following for its various parts:

$$T^{\mu 0} = \int \frac{dN}{d\mathcal{V}_x d\mathcal{V}_p} p^{\mu} p^0 \frac{d\mathcal{V}_p}{p^0} = \int \frac{dN}{d\mathcal{V}_x d\mathcal{V}_p} p^{\mu} d\mathcal{V}_p$$
(3.36a)

is the μ component of 4-momentum per unit volume (i.e., T^{00} is the energy density, and T^{j0} is the momentum density). Also,

$$T^{\mu x} = \int \frac{dN}{d\mathcal{V}_x d\mathcal{V}_p} p^{\mu} p^x \frac{d\mathcal{V}_p}{p^0} = \int \frac{dN}{dx dy dz d\mathcal{V}_p} \frac{dx}{dt} p^{\mu} d\mathcal{V}_p = \int \frac{dN}{dt dy dz d\mathcal{V}_p} p^{\mu} d\mathcal{V}_p$$
(3.36b)

is the amount of μ component of 4-momentum that crosses a unit area in the y-z plane per unit time (i.e., it is the x component of flux of μ component of 4-momentum).

56



More specifically, T^{0x} is the *x* component of energy flux (which is the same as the momentum density T^{x0}), and T^{jx} is the *x* component of spatial-momentum flux or, equivalently, the *jx* component of the stress tensor. These and the analogous expressions and interpretations of $T^{\mu y}$ and $T^{\mu z}$ can be summarized by

$$T^{00} = (\text{energy density}), \quad T^{j0} = (\text{momentum density}) = T^{0j} = (\text{energy flux}),$$

 $T^{jk} = (\text{stress tensor}).$ (3.36c)

Therefore [cf. Eq. (2.67f)], the **7** of Eq. (3.33c) must be the stress-energy tensor introduced and studied in Sec. 2.13. Notice that in the Newtonian limit, where $\mathcal{E} \to m$, the coordinate-independent Eq. (3.33c) for the spatial part of the stress-energy tensor (the stress) becomes $\int \mathcal{N} \mathbf{p} \otimes \mathbf{p} \, d\mathcal{V}_p/m$, which is the same as our coordinate-independent Eq. (3.32d) for the stress tensor.



FIGURE 3.7 Physical nature of hydrogen at various densities and temperatures. The plasma regime is discussed in great detail in Part VI, and the equation of state in this regime is Eq. (3.40). The region of relativistic electron degeneracy (to the right of the vertical dotted line) is analyzed in Sec. 3.5.4, and that for the nonrelativistic regime (between slanted solid line and vertical dotted line) in the second half of Sec. 3.5.2. The boundary between the plasma regime and the electron-degenerate regime (slanted solid line) is Eq. (3.41); that between nonrelativistic degeneracy and relativistic degeneracy (vertical dotted line) is Eq. (3.46). The upper relativistic/nonrelativistic boundary is governed by electron-positron pair production (Ex. 5.9 and Fig. 5.7) and is only crudely approximated by the upper dashed line. The ionized-neutral boundary is governed by the Saha equation (Ex. 5.10 and Fig. 20.1) and is crudely approximated by the lower dashed line. For a more accurate and detailed version of this figure, including greater detail on the plasma regime and its boundaries, see Fig. 20.1.



Non-relativistic plasma

A nonrelativistic hydrogen plasma consists of a mixture of two fluids (gases): free electrons and free protons, in equal numbers. Each fluid has a particle number density $n = \rho/m_p$, where ρ is the total mass density and m_p is the proton mass. (The electrons are so light that they do not contribute significantly to ρ .) Correspondingly, the energy density and pressure include equal contributions from the electrons and protons and are given by [cf. Eqs. (3.39b)]

$$U = 3(k_B/m_p)\rho T, \qquad P = 2(k_B/m_p)\rho T.$$
 (3.40)

In zeroth approximation, the high-temperature boundary of validity for this equation of state is the temperature $T_{\rm rel} = m_e c^2 / k_B = 6 \times 10^9$ K, at which the electrons become highly relativistic (top dashed line in Fig. 3.7).

61

The bottom dashed line in Fig. 3.7 is the temperature $T_{\rm ion} \sim$ (ionization energy of hydrogen)/(a few k_B) $\sim 10^4$ K, at which electrons and protons begin to recombine and form neutral hydrogen.

The solid right boundary is the point at which the electrons cease to behave like classical particles, because their mean occupation number η_e ceases to be $\ll 1$. As one can see from the Fermi-Dirac distribution (3.22a), for typical electrons (which have energies $E \sim k_B T$), the regime of classical behavior ($\eta_e \ll 1$; to the left of the solid line) is $\mu_e \ll -k_B T$ and the regime of strong quantum behavior ($\eta_e \simeq 1$; *electron degeneracy*; to the right of the solid line) is $\mu_e \gg +k_B T$. The slanted solid boundary in Fig. 3.7 is thus the location $\mu_e = 0$, which translates via Eq. (3.39a) to

$$\rho = \rho_{\text{deg}} \equiv 2m_p / \lambda_{\text{TdB}}^3 = (2m_p / h^3) (2\pi m_e k_B T)^{3/2} = 0.00808 (T/10^4 \text{ K})^{3/2} \text{ g cm}^{-3}.$$
(3.41)

62



Here p_F is called the *Fermi momentum*. (The word "degenerate" refers to the fact that almost all the quantum states are fully occupied or are empty; i.e., η_e is everywhere nearly 1 or 0.) By inserting this degenerate distribution function [or, more precisely, $\mathcal{N}_e = (2/h^3)\eta_e$] into Eqs. (3.37) and integrating, we obtain $n_e \propto p_F^{-3}$ and $P_e \propto p_F^{-5}$. By then setting $n_e = n_p = \rho/m_p$ and solving for $p_F \propto n_e^{1/3} \propto \rho^{1/3}$ and inserting into the expression for P_e and evaluating the constants, we obtain (Ex. 3.9) the following equation of state for the electron pressure:

$$P_e = \frac{1}{20} \left(\frac{3}{\pi}\right)^{2/3} \frac{m_e c^2}{\lambda_c^3} \left(\frac{\rho}{m_p / \lambda_c^3}\right)^{5/3}.$$
 (3.43)

E /0

Here

$$\lambda_c = h/(m_e c) = 2.426 \times 10^{-10} \,\mathrm{cm}$$
 (3.44)

is the electron Compton wavelength.

$$P = P_e = \text{Eq.}(3.43)$$

64

Isotropic number density and pressure (non-relativistic)

$$n=4\pi\int_0^\infty \mathcal{N}\,p^2dp,$$

$$P = \frac{1}{3}T_{jj} = \frac{1}{3}\int \mathcal{N}(p_x^2 + p_y^2 + p_z^2)\frac{d\mathcal{V}_p}{m}$$
$$= \frac{1}{3}\int_0^\infty \mathcal{N}p^2\frac{4\pi p^2 dp}{m} = \frac{4\pi}{3m}\int_0^\infty \mathcal{N}p^4 dp.$$

65











Relativistic degeneracy

When the density of hydrogen in this degenerate regime is pushed on upward to

$$\rho_{\rm rel \, deg} = \frac{8\pi m_p}{3\lambda_{\perp}^3} \simeq 9.8 \times 10^5 \,\rm g \, cm^{-3}$$
(3.46)

(dotted vertical line in Fig. 3.7), the electrons' zero-point motions become relativistically fast (the electron chemical potential μ_e becomes of order m_ec^2 and the Fermi momentum p_F of order m_ec), so the nonrelativistic, Newtonian analysis fails, and the matter enters a domain of relativistic degeneracy (Sec. 3.5.4). Both domains, nonrelativistic degeneracy ($\mu_e \ll m_ec^2$) and relativistic degeneracy ($\mu_e \gtrsim m_ec^2$), occur for matter inside a massive white-dwarf star—the type of star that the Sun will become



Relativistic Density, Pressure, Energy Density, and Equation of State (isotropic systems)

 $\mathcal{E} = -\vec{u}_{rf} \cdot \vec{p}$ expressed in frame-independent form [Eq. (2.29)], $\mathcal{E} = p^0 = \sqrt{m^2 + p^2}$ in mean rest frame.

As in Newtonian theory, isotropy greatly simplifies the momentum-space integrals (3.33) that we use to compute macroscopic properties of the particles: (i) The integrands of the expressions $S^j = \int \mathcal{N} p^j (d\mathcal{V}_p/\mathcal{E})$ and $T^{j0} = T^{0j} = \int \mathcal{N} p^j p^0 (d\mathcal{V}_p/\mathcal{E})$ for the particle flux, energy flux, and momentum density are all odd in the momentum-space coordinate p^j and therefore give vanishing integrals: $S^j = T^{j0} = T^{0j} = 0$. (ii) The integral $T^{jk} = \int \mathcal{N} p^j p^k d\mathcal{V}_p/\mathcal{E}$ produces an isotropic stress tensor, $T^{jk} = Pg^{jk} = P\delta^{jk}$, whose pressure is most easily computed from its trace,

72

72

Using these results and the relations $|\mathbf{p}| \equiv p$ for the magnitude of the momentum, $d\mathcal{V}_p = 4\pi p^2 dp$ for the momentum-space volume element, and $\mathcal{E} = p^0 = \sqrt{m^2 + p^2}$ for the particle energy, we can easily evaluate Eqs. (3.33) for the particle number density $n = S^0$, the total density of mass-energy T^{00} (which we denote ρ —the same notation as we use for mass density in Newtonian theory), and the pressure *P*. The results are

$$n \equiv S^{0} = \int \mathcal{N}d\mathcal{V}_{p} = 4\pi \int_{0}^{\infty} \mathcal{N}p^{2}dp,$$
$$\rho \equiv T^{00} = \int \mathcal{N}\mathcal{E}d\mathcal{V}_{p} = 4\pi \int_{0}^{\infty} \mathcal{N}\mathcal{E}p^{2}dp,$$
$$P = \frac{1}{3} \int \mathcal{N}p^{2}\frac{d\mathcal{V}_{p}}{\mathcal{E}} = \frac{4\pi}{3} \int_{0}^{\infty} \mathcal{N}\frac{p^{4}dp}{\sqrt{m^{2} + p^{2}}}$$

Equation of State for a Relativistic Degenerate Hydrogen Gas (zero T)

We can do so with the aid of the following approximation for the relativistic Fermi-Dirac mean occupation number $\eta_e = 1/[e^{(\mathcal{E}-\tilde{\mu}_e/(k_BT))} + 1]$:

$$\eta_e \simeq 1 \text{ for } \mathcal{E} < \tilde{\mu}_e \equiv \mathcal{E}_F; \text{ i.e., for } p < p_F = \sqrt{\mathcal{E}_F^2 - m^2},$$
 (3.50)

$$\eta_e \simeq 0 \text{ for } \mathcal{E} > \mathcal{E}_F; \text{ i.e., for } p > p_F.$$
(3.51)

Here \mathcal{E}_F is called the relativistic *Fermi energy* and p_F the relativistic *Fermi momentum*. By inserting this η_e along with $\mathcal{N}_e = (2/h^3)\eta_e$ into the integrals (3.49) for the electron number density n_e , total density of mass-energy ρ_e , and pressure P_e , and performing the integrals (Ex. 3.10), we obtain results that are expressed most simply in terms of a parameter *t* (not to be confused with time) defined by

$$\mathcal{E}_F \equiv \tilde{\mu}_e \equiv m_e \cosh(t/4), \qquad p_F \equiv \sqrt{\mathcal{E}_F^2 - m_e^2} \equiv m_e \sinh(t/4).$$
 (3.52a)

74

Relativistic Degenerate Electron Gas The results are $n_e = \frac{8\pi}{3\lambda_c^3} \left(\frac{p_F}{m_e}\right)^3 = \frac{8\pi}{3\lambda_c^3} \sinh^3(t/4), \qquad (3.52b)$ $\rho_e = \frac{8\pi m_e}{\lambda_c^3} \int_0^{p_F/m_e} x^2 \sqrt{1+x^2} \, dx = \frac{\pi m_e}{4\lambda_c^3} [\sinh(t) - t], \qquad (3.52c)$ $P_e = \frac{8\pi m_e}{\lambda_c^3} \int_0^{p_F/m_e} \frac{x^4}{\sqrt{1+x^2}} \, dx = \frac{\pi m_e}{12\lambda_c^3} [\sinh(t) - 8\sinh(t/2) + 3t]. \qquad (3.52d)$

75

White dwarfs

In a white-dwarf star, the protons, with their high rest mass, are nondegenerate, the total density of mass-energy is dominated by the proton rest-mass density, and since there is one proton for each electron in the hydrogen gas, that total is

$$\rho \simeq m_p n_e = \frac{8\pi m_p}{3\lambda_c^3} \sinh^3(t/4). \tag{3.53a}$$

By contrast (as in the nonrelativistic regime), the pressure is dominated by the electrons (because of their huge zero-point motions), not the protons; and so the total pressure is

$$P = P_e = \frac{\pi m_e}{12\lambda_1^3} [\sinh(t) - 8\sinh(t/2) + 3t].$$
 (3.53b)

76



In the low-density limit, where $t \ll 1$ so $p_F \ll m_e = m_e c$, we can solve the relativistic equation (3.52b) for t as a function of $n_e = \rho/m_p$ and insert the result into the relativistic expression (3.53b); the result is the nonrelativistic equation of state (3.43). The dividing line $\rho = \rho_{\text{rel} \deg} = 8\pi m_p/(3\lambda_c^3) \simeq 1.0 \times 10^6 \text{ g cm}^{-3}$ [Eq. (3.46)] between nonrelativistic and relativistic degeneracy is the point where the electron Fermi momentum is equal to the electron rest mass [i.e., $\sinh(t/4) = 1$]. The equation of state (3.53a) and (3.53b) implies $P_e \propto \rho^{5/3} \quad \text{in the nonrelativistic regime, } \rho \ll \rho_{\text{rel deg}},$ $P_e \propto \rho^{4/3} \quad \text{in the relativistic regime, } \rho \gg \rho_{\text{rel deg}}.$ (3.53c)

These asymptotic equations of state turn out to play a crucial role in the structure and stability of white dwarf stars

77









Quantum gases: fermions and bosons (grand canonical ensemble)

The equation of state for a quantum ideal gas is

$$pV = kTln\Xi = \pm kT \sum_{j} ln[1 \pm e^{\beta\mu}e^{-\beta\varepsilon_j}]$$

The summation over states can be replaced by an integration over energy levels with:

$$\omega(\epsilon)d\epsilon = 2\pi \left(\frac{2m}{h^2}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}} V \epsilon^{\frac{1}{2}} d\epsilon \qquad 3D$$

From this, derive the quantum virial expansion (where $\lambda = e^{\beta \mu}$):

$$\frac{\mathrm{P}}{\mathrm{kT}} = \mp \frac{1}{\Lambda^3} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{(\mp 1)^j \lambda^j}{j^{\frac{5}{2}}}$$

82











Proof

We shall prove Liouville's theorem with the aid of the diagrams in Fig. 3.9. Assume, for simplicity, that the particles have nonzero rest mass. Consider the region in phase space occupied by the particles, as seen in the inertial reference frame (rest frame) of the fiducial particle, and choose for ℓ the time t of that inertial frame (or in Newtonian theory the universal time t). Choose the particles' region $dV_x dV_p$ at t = 0 to be a rectangular box centered on the fiducial particle (i.e., on the origin $x^j = 0$ of its inertial frame; Fig. 3.9a). Examine the evolution with time t of the 2-dimensional slice $y = p_y = z = p_z = 0$ through the occupied region. The evolution of other slices will be similar. Then, as t passes, the particle at location (x, p_x) moves with velocity $dx/dt = p_x/m$ (where the nonrelativistic approximation to the velocity is used, because all the particles are very nearly at rest in the fiducial particle's inertial frame). Because the particles move freely, each has a conserved p_x , and their motion $dx/dt = p_x/m$ (larger speeds are higher in the diagram) deforms the particles' phase space region into a skewed parallelogram as shown in Fig. 3.9b. Obviously, the area of the occupied region, $\Delta x \Delta p_x$, is conserved.

88





(3.66)

Since our derivation of the collisionless Boltzmann equation relies on the assumption that no particles are created or destroyed as time passes, the collisionless Boltzmann equation in turn should guarantee conservation of the number of particles, $\partial n/\partial t + \nabla \cdot S = 0$ in Newtonian theory (Sec. 1.8), and $\nabla \cdot \vec{S} = 0$ relativistically (Sec. 2.12.3). Indeed, this is so; see Ex. 3.14. Similarly, since the collisionless Boltzmann equation is based on the law of momentum (or 4-momentum) conservation for all the individual particles, it is reasonable to expect that the collisionless Boltzmann equation will guarantee the conservation of their total Newtonian momentum [$\overline{0}(\partial t + \nabla \cdot T = 0, Eq. (1.36)$] and their relativistic 4-momentum [$\overline{V} \cdot T = 0$, Eq. (2.73a)]. And indeed, these conservation laws do follow from the collisionless Boltzmann equations; see Ex. 3.14.

Thus far we have assumed that the particles move freely through phase space with no collisions. If collisions occur, they will produce some nonconservation of \mathcal{N} along the trajectory of a freely moving, noncolliding fiducial particle, and correspondingly, the collisionless Boltzmann equation will be modified to read

$$\frac{d\mathcal{N}}{d\ell} = \left(\frac{d\mathcal{N}}{d\ell}\right)_{\text{collisions}},$$

where the right-hand side represents the effects of collisions. This equation, with collision terms present, is called the *Boltzmann transport equation*. The actual form of the collision terms depends, of course, on the details of the collisions. We meet some specific examples in the next section [Eqs. (3.79), (3.86a), (3.87), and Ex. 3.21] and in our study of plasmas (Chaps. 22 and 23).



90



Transport coefficients



Another example of a transport coefficient is thermal conductivity $\kappa,$ which appears in the law of heat conduction

 $\mathbf{F} = -\kappa \boldsymbol{\nabla} T.$

(3.70b)

92

Here F is the diffusive energy flux from regions of high temperature T to low. The impediment to heat flow is scattering of the conducting particles; and, correspondingly, the foundation for accurately computing κ is the Boltzmann transport equation.

Other examples of transport coefficients are (i) the coefficient of shear viscosity η_{shear} , which determines the stress T_{ij} (diffusive flux of momentum) that arises in a shearing fluid [Eq. (13.68)]

$$T_{ij} = -2\eta_{\text{shear}}\sigma_{ij}, \qquad (3.70c)$$

where σ_{ij} is the fluid's rate of shear (Ex. 3.19), and (ii) the diffusion coefficient *D*, which determines the diffusive flux of particles S from regions of high particle density *n* to low (Fick's law):

 $\mathbf{S} = -D\boldsymbol{\nabla}n. \tag{3.70d}$

92

Transport coefficients

There is a *diffusion equation* associated with each of these transport coefficients. For example, the differential law of particle conservation $\partial n/\partial t + \nabla \cdot S = 0$ [Eq. (1.30)], when applied to material in which the particles scatter many times so $S = -D\nabla n$, gives the following diffusion equation for the particle number density:

$$\frac{\partial n}{\partial t} = D\nabla^2 n, \tag{3.71}$$

where we have assumed that D is spatially constant. In Ex. 3.17, by exploring solutions to this equation, we shall see that the root mean square (rms) distance \bar{l} the particles travel is proportional to the square root of their travel time, $\bar{l} = \sqrt{4Dt}$, a behavior characteristic of diffusive random walks.¹⁰ See Sec. 6.3 for deeper insights into this.

Similarly, the law of energy conservation, when applied to diffusive heat flow $\mathbf{F} = -\kappa \nabla T$, leads to a diffusion equation for the thermal energy density U and thence for temperature [Ex. 3.18 and Eq. (18.4)]. Maxwell's equations in a magnetized fluid, when combined with Ohm's law $\mathbf{j} = \kappa_e \mathbf{E}$, lead to diffusion equation (19.6) for magnetic field lines. And the law of angular momentum conservation, when applied to a shearing fluid with $T_{ij} = -2\eta_{\text{shear}}\sigma_{ij}$, leads to diffusion equation (14.6) for vorticity.

Transport coefficients

These diffusion equations, and all other physical laws involving transport coefficients, are approximations to the real world-approximations that are valid if and only if (i) many particles are involved in the transport of the quantity of interest (e.g., charge, heat, momentum, particles) and (ii) on average each particle undergoes many scatterings in moving over the length scale of the macroscopic inhomogeneities that drive the transport. This second requirement can be expressed quantitatively in terms of the mean free path λ between scatterings (i.e., the mean distance a particle travels between scatterings, as measured in the mean rest frame of the matter) and the macroscopic inhomogeneity scale \mathcal{L} for the quantity that drives the transport (e.g., in heat transport that scale is $\mathcal{L} \sim T/|\nabla T|$; i.e., it is the scale on which the temperature changes by an amount of order itself). In terms of these quantities, the second criterion of validity is $\lambda \ll \mathcal{L}$. These two criteria (many particles and $\lambda \ll \mathcal{L}$) together are called diffusion criteria, since they guarantee that the quantity being transported (charge, heat, momentum, particles) will diffuse through the matter. If either of the two diffusion criteria fails, then the standard transport law (Ohm's law, the law of heat conduction, the Navier-Stokes equation, or the particle diffusion equation) breaks down and the corresponding transport coefficient becomes irrelevant and meaningless.