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#### Abstract

We consider ladders with two, three and four coupled Ising spin chains, characterized by interchain and intrachain couplings, to study their magnetic behaviour for different ratios of interaction constants and different values of magnetic feld, by using a transfer matrix method and a complex algorithm realising the diagonalization and the differentiation. It is interesting that when the competition between interchain and intrachain interactions is different from zero the magnetization goes from the value one to the value zero in a narrow interval of nonzero temperatures, where, also, the suceptibility exhibits a very high peak. This narrow interval, increasing the number of chains, is displaced towards the higher temperatures. Also, in the case of interchain antiferromagnetic couplings, it becomes clear the formation of interchain spin pairs.

The spin $-\frac{1}{2}$ ladder or the system of coupled spin chains may be realized in nature by vanadyl pyrophosphate $\left((V O)_{2} P_{2} O_{7}\right)$ or similar materials. All these intermedinte systems are today important to gain further insight into the physics of one-dimensional spin chains and the two-dimensional high- $T_{c}$ spin systems, both of which have shown interesting and unusnal magnetic and superconducting properties. It is plausible that experimental and theoretical studies of ladders may lend to other interesting physical phenomena.
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## 1 Introduction

The recent discovery of two-dimensional Heisenberg spin systerns in the copper- oxygen planes of the high temperature superconductors has further increased the interest in lowerdimensional Heisenberg ferromagnets. Today, to gain further insight into the physics of one-dimensional spin chains and the two-dimensional high- $T_{c}$ spin systems, one may study systems which are intermediate between these two cases ( $[1]$ ). So, the orthorhombic compound of vanadyl pyrophosphate $(\mathrm{VO})_{2} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7}$ clearly shows a ladder configuration of $S=\frac{1}{2} V^{4+}$ ions in its crystal lattice ([2]). Also, several $C u^{2+}$ compounds are known from crystallographic studies at room temperature to form related one-dimensional spin ladder configurations. Concretely, two ladder systems: $S r_{n-1} C u_{n+1} O_{2 n}$ series $([3]-[4])$ and $L a_{4+4 n} C u_{y+2 n} O_{1,+8,8 n}$ series([5]) have been investigated. In a parallel series of investigations the properties of spin ladders with ferromagnetic rung couplings (which are more closely related to spin -1 chains) have also been discussed([6]-[8]). Due to speculations that the $S=\frac{1}{2}$ square - lattice antiferromagnetic copper - oxygen planes might be a vital component of the mechanism of high- temperature superconductivity, many recent studies of antiferromagnetic Heisenberg spin ladders have subsequently appeared in the literature $([9]-[\underline{2} 0])$.

In [21] we have considered the simplest case of a spin ladder, which is an Ising spin ladder, cousisting of two chains of magnetic ions (or spins) coupled by an interaction of strength $J_{1}$ along tite chains and $J_{2}$ between therm, to study in details the behaviour of the specific heat. In the present work we shall consider ladders with two, three and four coupled lsing spin chains, characterized by (ferromagnetic and antiferromagnetic) interchain and (ferromagnetic) intrachain couplings, to study their magnetic properties as magnetization and suscepbtibility, for different ratios of interaction constants and different values of magnetic field, by using a transfer matrix method and a complex algorithm for diagonalization and differentiation.

## 2 Ladder with two coupled Ising spin chains

### 2.1 The model and the transfer matrix method.

The related low-dimensional system investigated in this section is an Ising "ladder" with $N$ colunus or rungs. This system is the standard spin lsing model on a ladder of two coupled spin chains, refered 1 and 2. The ladder Hamiltonian with a strength- $J_{1}$ interaction along the long (clain) axis of the ladder, and a $J_{2}$ interaction across the rungs, is given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=-J_{1} \underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^{N}}_{\text {(chains })}\left(S_{1, i} S_{1, i+1}+S_{2, i} S_{2, i+\mathrm{i}}\right)-J_{2} \underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^{N}}_{\{\text {rungs }\}} S_{1, i} S_{2, i}-B \sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(S_{1, i}+S_{2, i}\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $B$ is the magnetic field and $\sim_{:, 1}$ or $S_{2, i}= \pm 1$ at site $(1, i)$ or $(2, i)$ of the ladder. We will consider also ladders with periodic boundary conditions in the long axis direction.

Developping the Hamiltonian in the "elementary segments" (corresponding to the modified squares) of the type:

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\left[J_{1}\left(S_{1, i} S_{1, i+1}+S_{2, i} S_{2, i+1}\right)+\frac{J_{2}}{2}\left(S_{2, i} S_{1, i}+S_{1, i+1} S_{2, i+1}\right)+\frac{B}{2}\left(S_{2, i}+S_{1, i}+S_{1, i+i}+S_{2, i+1}\right)\right] \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

the partition function can be written as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z=\sum_{s_{1},, s_{2}, 1} \exp \left(-H / k_{B} T\right)=\operatorname{Tr}\left(T^{N}\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k_{B}$ is the Boltzmann constant, $T$ - the absolute temperature and $\mathbf{T}$ - the transfer matrix.

Denoting $k_{1}=\left(J_{1} / k_{B} T\right)=k=(1 / t)$ (with $t=\left(k_{B} T / J_{1}\right)$ - the reduced temperature), $k_{2}=\left(J_{2} / k_{B} T\right)=c k$ (with $e=\left(J_{2} / J_{1}\right)$ - the ratio of interaction constants), $h_{o}=\left(B / k_{B} T\right)=k h$ (with $h=\left(B / J_{1}\right)$ - the teduced field) and $r=\exp (k), y=\exp (e k)$, $z=\exp (k h)$ the transfer matrix $T$, with $2^{2} \times 2^{2}$ elements and symmelric related to the main diagonal, constructed following the decreasing order of bits numbers from the configuration (1111) (four spins $S_{1, i}, S_{1, i+1}, S_{2, i}, S_{2, i+1}$ are "up") tes the configuration (0000) (four spins point "down"), is given by:

$$
\mathbf{T}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
x^{2} y z^{2} & z & z & x^{-2} y  \tag{4}\\
z & x^{-2} y^{-1} & x^{2} y^{-1} & z^{-1} \\
z & x^{2} y^{-1} & x^{-2} y^{-1} & z^{-1} \\
x^{-2} y & z^{-1} & z^{-1} & x^{2} y z^{-2}
\end{array}\right)
$$

This matrix, in the limit of $h=0$ (in absence of a magnetic field) and in the isotropic limit $e=1$ (equal interchain and intrachain copulings) is equal to the matrix given in [22].

Fron the diagonalization of this matrix we find the larger eigenvalue $\lambda_{\text {mar }}$. Since the maximum eigenvalue $\lambda_{\text {max }}$ is equal to the grand partition function per ${ }^{n}$ elementary segmen"" (or 2 spins ), ifr the thermodynamic limit, the thernodynamic potential or the free energy per spin, in unity of $J_{1}$, is derived as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f=-\left(k_{B} T / J_{2}\right) \lim _{N \rightarrow \infty}\left[(2 N)^{-1} \ln \left(\lambda_{\max }\right)^{N}\right]=-\frac{1}{2 k} \ln \left(\lambda_{\max }\right) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the thermodynamic relation, for the magnetization per spin $m$, we can write:

$$
\begin{equation*}
m=-\frac{\partial f}{\partial h}=\frac{1}{2 k} \frac{\partial}{\partial h} \ln \left(\lambda_{m a r}\right) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

while for the susceptibility $X$ we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
X=\frac{\partial m}{\partial h}=\frac{1}{2 k} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial h^{2}} \ln \left(\lambda_{\operatorname{mar}}\right) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Based on the relations (4)-(7) we have constructed a complex aigorithm, which realizes progressively the diagonalization, the selection of the larger eigenvalue and the first and second differentiation. Through this numerical procedure we shall study the behaviour of the magnetization and susceptibility related to temperature, interaction ratio and magnetic field.

### 2.2 The magnetization and the susceptibility

In the case $B=0$ (or $h=0$ ) following the procedure and the algorithm mentioned above we lave studied the variation of the magnetization (per spin) $m$ and the susceptibility $X$ versus ille reduced temperature $t$ for different values of interaction ratio $c(e>0)$. For illustration, in Fig-la,b are represented this variations for some values of $e$ ( $e=$ $0.1,0.2,1,2)$. It is rlearly visible from these $(m-t)$ or $(X-t)$ diagrams that in a narrow critical region of the reduced temperalure $t$ the magnetization goes from the value one to the value \%ro (Fig.Ia), while the susceptibility in this narrow interval of temperature has a very high prak of the order of magnitude $10^{8}-10^{9}$ (Fig. 1b). For the $e=0$ or in the $J_{2}=0-" c h a i n$ limil", i.e., in the case of two chains withont couplings across them, we find the same variation as in the case of a single chain in absence of the magnetic field. (In this case, diagonalizing the iransfer matrix analitically for $\lambda_{\text {max }}$ we obtain a value which is the sifuare value of the eigenvalue for a single chain, i.e., based on (5), the same thermodynanic potential as in a single chain.)

Quite interesting is the case when $c<0(h=0)$. In this case, for $t=0$, or in the ground state, the spins of each chain are parallel (inside one chain), but point in different directions ("up" amt "down", or "down" and "up") from one chain to another. Controlling this case (evell for very small values of $c$, in the limit of our momerical accuracy) we have seen that the magnetization is zero in all region of temperatures. In Fig. 2 is illustrated this quite sperial belaviour for $c=-0.01(h=0)$. It means that a coupled invertion or a spin pairing across the chains is present, i.e., when a spin in one site of the rung is flipping up its partuer in other site of the same rung is turning down or vice-versa. In the same ligure we have represented, also, the variation of $m$ versus $t$ in the case of an antiferomagnetir interachain coupling with $\epsilon=-0.55$ and in the presence of a magnetic field $h=0.5$.

In Fig.3a and Fig. 3 b , for illustration, are represented the ( $m-1$ ) and ( $X-t$ ) diagrams, respectively, in the presence of the magnetic field ( $h=0.5$ and $h=1$ ), in the case of a ferromagnetic' isolropic interchain coupling ( $e=1$ ).

## 3 Ladder with three coupled Ising spin chains

### 3.1 The model and the transfer matrix method.

We consider three conpled lsing spin chains. The interchain and intrachain interactions are taken as in section 2.1, i.e., $J_{1}$ is the interaction along the long (chain) axis of the triple ladder and $J_{2}$ the interaction across the rungs. The Hamiltonian is given by:

$$
\begin{gather*}
H=-I_{1} \underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(S_{1, i} S_{1, i+1}\right.}_{(\text {rhutins })}+S_{2, i, i, i+1}+S_{3, i} S_{3, i+1})-J_{2} \underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(S_{1, i} S_{2, i}+S_{2, i} S_{3, i}\right)-}_{\text {(rungs) }} \\
-B \sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(S_{1, i}+S_{2, i}+S_{3, i}\right) \tag{8}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $B$ is the magnetic field and $S_{1, i}, S_{2, i}$ or $S_{3, i}= \pm 1$ at site $(1, i)$, (2.i) or ( $3, i$ ) of the triple ladder. We will consider also ladders with periodic boundary conditions in the long axis direction.

Developping the Hamiltonian in the "elementary segments" :

$$
\begin{gather*}
-\left[J_{1}\left(S_{1,1} S_{1, i+1}+S_{2, i} S_{2, i+1}+S_{3, i} S_{3, i+1}\right)+\frac{J_{2}}{2}\left(S_{3, i} S_{2, i}+S_{2, i} S_{1, i}+S_{1, i+1} S_{2, i+1}+S_{2, i+1} S_{3, i+1}\right)+\right. \\
\left.+\frac{B}{2}\left(S_{3, i}+S_{2, i}+S_{1, i}+S_{1, i+1}+S_{2, i+1}+S_{3, i+i}\right)\right] \tag{9}
\end{gather*}
$$

the partition function can be written in a similar way as in (3):

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z=\sum_{s_{1},, s_{2,,}, s_{3},} \exp \left(-H / k_{B} T\right)^{\prime}=\operatorname{Tr}\left(\mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{N}}\right) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where T is now the $\left(2^{3} \times 2^{3}\right)$ - transfer matrix, constructed by the same procedure as in 2.1 .

Using the same notations as before, this matrix, with 64 nonindependent e]ements and symmetric related to the main diagonal, is given by:

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cccccccc}
x^{3} y^{2} z^{3} & r y z^{2} & x^{2} & x^{-1} y z & x y z^{2} & x^{-1} z & x^{-1} y z & x^{-3} y^{2}  \tag{11}\\
x y z^{2} & x^{-1} z & x^{-1} y^{-1} z & x^{-3} & x^{3} z & x y^{-1} & x^{2} & x^{-1} y z^{-1} \\
x^{2} & x^{-1} y^{-1} z & x^{3} y^{-2} z & r y^{-1} & x^{-1} y^{-1} z & x^{-3} y^{-2} & x y^{-1} & x^{-1} z^{-1} \\
x^{-1} y z & x^{-3} & x^{-1} & x^{-1} z^{-1} & x & r^{-1} y^{-1} z^{-1} & x^{3} z^{-1} & x y z^{-2} \\
x y z^{2} & x^{3} z & x^{-1} y^{-1} z & x & r^{-1} z & x^{-1} & x^{-3} & x^{-1} y z^{-1} \\
x^{-1} z & x y^{-1} & x^{-3} y^{-2} & x^{-1} y^{-1} z^{-1} & x y^{-1} & x^{3} y^{-2} z^{-1} & r^{-1} y^{-1} z^{-1} & x z^{-2} \\
x^{-1} y z & x & r y^{-1} & x^{3} z^{-1} & r^{-3} & r^{-1} y^{-1} z^{-1} & x^{-1} z^{-1} & x y z^{-2} \\
x^{-3} y^{2} & r^{-1} y z^{-1} & r^{-1} z^{-1} & r y z^{-2} & x^{-1} y z^{-1} & x z^{-2} & x y z^{-2} & x^{3} y^{2} z^{-3}
\end{array}\right)
$$

From the diagonalization of this matrix we find the larger eigenvalue $\lambda_{\text {mar }}$ or the grand partition function per "elementary segment" (or 3 spins ). In the thermodynamic limit, the thermodynamic potential or the free energy $f_{\text {, in }}$ unity of $J_{1}$, the magnetization $m$ and the susceptibility $X$, per spin, are derived, respectively, as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int=-\frac{1}{3 k} \ln \left(\lambda_{\max }\right), m=\frac{1}{3 k} \frac{\partial}{\partial h} \ln \left(\lambda_{\max }\right), X=\frac{1}{3 k} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial h^{2}} \ln \left(\lambda_{\max }\right) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Based on these relations, using the same numerical procedure as in the section 2 we study for the magnetization and susceptibility their behaviour related to the temperature, the interaction ratio and the magnetic field.

### 3.2 The magnetization and the susceptibility

In the case $h=0$ or $h \neq 0$ following the procedure and the modified algorithm mentioned above, we have studied the variation of the magnetization (per spin) $m$ and the susceptibility $X$ versus the reduced temperature $f$ for different values of interaction ratio $e(e>0)$. Also, in theses cases we obtain the same behaviour for ( $m-1$ ) or $(X-t)$ diagrams as in the section 2.2, but the narrow critical interval is eisplaced to higher values of temperatures. For the $e=0$ or in the $J_{2}=0-$ "chain limit" and $h=0$, i.e., in the case of three spin chains without couplings across them and with, $h=0$, we find the same variation as in
the case of a single chain in absence of the magnetic field. (In this case, diagonalizing the transfer matrix (11) analitically for $\lambda_{\text {mar }}$ we obtain a value which is the cubic value of the eigenvalue for a single chain, i.c., based on (12), we obtain the same thermodynamic potential as in a single chain.)

Very diffremt is the case when $e<0(h=0)$, in which, for $t=0$, the spins of each chain are parallel (inside one chain), but point in different directions ("up" and "down", or "down" and "up") [rom one chain to another. Controlling this case (even for very small values of $r$, in the limit of our mumerical accuracy) we lave seen that $m \rightarrow \frac{1}{3}$ when $t \rightarrow 0$. It Fig.t is illustrated this quite interesting behaviour for $e=-0.001, e=-0.01$ and $r \simeq-11.1(h=0)$. It means that a coupled invertion or a spin pairing across only two claims ( 1 and 2 or 2 and 3 ) is present, i.e., when a spin in one site of the rung is lifpiug in its pather in other nearest neighbour site of the same rung is turning down or vice-versa. 'The third chain behaves like independent. '1'hus, in three spins, situated in one rung of the there chains, only one spin contributes to the magnetization $m$ in $t=0$.

## 4 Ladder with four coupled Ising spin chains

### 4.1 The model and the transfer matrix method.

We consider now four coupled lsing spin chains ( $1,2,3$ and 4). The intrachain interactions and the interelain (between the 1 -st and 2 -nd chains and the 3 -rd and 4 -th chains) interactions are taken as in section 2.1, i.e., $J_{1}$ is the interaction along the long (chain) axis of the guaslruple ladder and $J_{2}$-the interaction across the rungs 1-2 and 3-4. The interchain intraction between the 2 -nd and the 3 -rd chain is taken $J_{0}$. The Itamilonian is given by:
where $B$ is: the magnetic fied and $S_{1, i}, S_{2, i}, S_{1, i}$ or $S_{1, i}= \pm 1$ at site: $(1, i),(2, i),(3, i)$ or $(4, i)$ of the double ladder. We will consider also ladders with periodic boundary conditions in the long axis direction.

Developping the llamiltonian in the "elementary segments" :

$$
\begin{align*}
& -\left[J_{1}\left(S_{1, i} S_{1, i+1}+S_{2, i} S_{2, i+1}+S_{3, i} S_{3, i+1}+S_{4, i} S_{4, i+1}\right)+\frac{J_{2}}{2}\left(S_{4, i} S_{3, i}+S_{2,1} S_{1}-S_{1, i+1} S_{2, i+1}+S_{3, i+1} S_{1, i+1}\right)+\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{S_{0}}{2}\left(S_{3, i} S_{2, i}+S_{2, i+1} S_{3, i+1}\right)+\frac{B}{2}\left(S_{4, i}+S_{3, i}+S_{2, i}+S_{1, i}+S_{1, i+1}+S_{2, i+1}+S_{3, i+1}+S_{4, i+1}\right)\right] \tag{14}
\end{align*}
$$

the partition function can be written in a similar way as in (3) or (10):

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z=\sum_{s_{1}, \ldots, s_{2}, s_{1}, \ldots s_{4},} e r p\left(-I / / k_{B} T\right)=\operatorname{Tr}\left(\mathrm{T}^{\mathrm{N}}\right) \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $T$ is now the ( $2^{4} \times 2^{4}$ )- transfer matrix, constructed by the same procedure as in 2.1. Using the same notations as before, adding $e_{0}=\left(J_{0} / J_{1}\right)$ and $u=\exp \left(J_{0} / k_{B} T\right)=$ $\operatorname{cxp}\left(e_{0} k\right)$, this matrix, with 256 nonindependent elements and symmetric related to the main diagonal, is expressed through $x, y, z$ and $u$. We will not give here its expression.

From the diagonalization of this matrix we find the larger eigenvalue $\lambda_{\text {max }}$ or the grand partition function per "elementary segment" (or 4 spins ). In the thermodynamic limit, the thermodynamic potential or the free energy $f$, in unity of $J_{1}$, the magnetization $m$ and the susceptibility $X_{\text {, per spin, are derived, respectively, as: }}^{\text {a }}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
f=-\frac{1}{4 k} \ln \left(\lambda_{\operatorname{mar}}\right) \cdot m=\frac{1}{1 k} \frac{\partial}{\partial h} \ln \left(\lambda_{\operatorname{mar}}\right), X=\frac{1}{4 k} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial h^{2}} \ln \left(\lambda_{\max }\right) \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Based on these relations, using the same numerical proceduse as in the section 2 and 3 we study the behavionr of the magnetization and susceptibility related to the temperature, the interaction ratio and the magnetic field.

### 4.2 The magnetization and the susceptibility

Following lie procedure and the modified algorithm mentioned above, we have stindied the variation of the magnetization (per spin) $m$ and the susceptibility $I$ versus the reduced temperature / for different values of interaction ratios $e$ and $c_{0}$. For $h=0$ and $e>0$, $e_{o}>0$ we ohtain a similar behaviour for ( $m-t$ ) or ( $X-t$ ) diagrams as in the section 2.2 or 3.3 . but the narrow critical interval is displaced to higher values of temperatures. This is illustrated in Pig. 5 a , , for the isotropic cases of three considered variants when $e=1$ or $c=c_{o}=1$ and $h=0$. Also. we have seen that the influence of $e$ (of $J_{2}$ ) is bigger than that of $e_{0}$ (of $J_{0}$ ) in increasing these temperatures, which based on interaction configurations is quite nomail. In the case $\boldsymbol{c}_{o}=0$ or $J_{o}=0$ (and $e \neq 0$ ) the results for the ladder with four chains are reduced to them of a simple ladder (section 2.2), while in the case $\mathrm{f}=0$ or $J_{2}=0$ (and $\epsilon_{0} \neq 0$ ) the double ladder is reduced to a single ladder and two independent single chains. In the last case for $h=0$ when $t \rightarrow 0(t \neq 0)$ the average value of magnetization per spitn, considering all spin configurations (the magnetization $m$ of single chains is equal to zero for $t \neq 0$ ) tends to ( $1 / 2$ ), i.e., $m \rightarrow 0.5$, which is quite clear in Fig.6, but strictly al $I=0$ there is at "transition" jump (the inset in Fig.6) to $m=1$ (for single chain $m=1$ at $t=0$ ).

Very different are the cases when one, or both of the interfhain interactions are antiferromagnetic (and different from zero). For $t=0$. the spins of each chain are parallel (inside one chain), but can point to different directions from one chain to another. There are three possible cases: a) $e>0$ and $e_{o}<0$; c) $c<0$ and $e_{o}>0$; a) $e<0$ and $e_{o}<0$. In all these cases the magnetization $m$ is zero for $h=0$, which can be explained easily by antiferromagnetic spin pairings.

## 5 Conclusions

In this paper we have considered Ising spin ladders with two, three or four chains to study in detail the behaviour of the magnetization and the susceptibility related to the different values of the temperature, the ratio of interaction constants and the magnetic field. An important feature for the system is the spin pairing when an antiferromagnetic
interchain coupling is present. Also, increasing the number of chains from two to four we see clearly the displacement of the narrow critical regions towards the higher values of the temperalure.

To understand better the behaviour of this kind of low-dimensional systems for an arbitrary, lut limited number of chains, we are trying to construct a general algorithm to generate the elements of the transfer matrix and after to realize progressively the diagonalization, and the selection of the larger eigenvalue, and finally, the first and second differentiation. This will be the object of a future work.
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## Figure Captions

Fig.la. The variation of $m$ versus $t$ for some values of $e(e=0.1,0.2,1,2)$ and $h=0$ (the simple ladder).
Fig.1b. The corresponding ( $X-t$ ) diagrans.
Fig. $\mathbf{z}^{\prime}$ T'wo variations of $m$ versus $\delta$ in the case of antiferromagnetic interchain couplings for $r=-0.0 \mathrm{t} . h=0$ and $r=-0.55, h=0.5$.

Pig. 3a. The $(m-1)$ diagrams in the presence of a magnetic field ( $h=0.5$ and $h=1$ ), in the case of a ferromagnetic isotropic interchain coupling ( $e=1$ ).
Fig. 3h. 'The corresponding ( $X-1$ ) diagrams.
Fig.s. The variation of the magnetization $m$ versus the temperature $t$ for the ladder with three thains when $c=-0.001, c=-0.01$ and $c=-0.1(h=0)$.

Fig.5. The displacemert of the critical narrow region towards the higher values of the temperature for isotropic interactions and $h=0$, represented in:
a) $(m-1)$ diagrams
b) $(x-1)$ diagyanis

Fig.fi. The ( $m-1$ ) diagram for two extrme cases: $c=0, c_{a}=1$ and $e=1, e_{0}$ of the ladder will four chains ( $h=0$ ).
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